that theoretical work will be advanced.

The last point concerns Salmon's definite distinction between philosophical and substantive aspects of inquiry, and her singularly weak effort at establishing the ultimate relevance of philosophy to archaeology (p. 181-182). It is indeed to distinguish between essential the rational reconstructions of analytical philosophy and actual processes of substantive inquiry which they represent, and this is unquestionably a trap into which archaeologists have fallen. After reading Salmon's chapter on theory building, however, it is difficult to accept her view that philosophical solutions do not affect the "dirt" archaeologist (p. ix), although philosophy may perhaps help archaeologists in developing analytical skills and critical abilities (p.181). If nothing else, surely her own discussion has offered a clear philosophical mandate for the untidy, sometimes intuitive, sometimes methodical and systematic, backward-and-forward physical and mental process which beings about the development of archaeological knowledge.

In conclusion, two final points seem to demand attention. The first is a word in protest at the liberal peppering of typographical and grammatical errors which have been allowed to remain in the text, and at the use of a single type of brackets for all parenthetical purposes. The errors are, in general, only irritating, but the failure to differentiate between a simple parenthetical statement and an interpolation by the author in a quoted passage, for example, is at best inconvenient and may be misleading. * * * F

H.R. HARVEY and H.J. PREM, Explorations in Ethnohistory: Indians of Central Mexico in the Sixteenth Of New Mexico of New Mexico 1984. 312pp. \$35.00 (Hard). ISBN 0-8263-0712-4.

Reviewed by Elizabeth Baquedano

The aim of this well edited collection of papers is to summarise current trends in Mesoamerican ethnohistorical research.

The introduction to the book by Harvey and Prem is an excellent review of what has been labelled 'Ethnohistory', as well as a general summary of research carried out for the past four and a half centuries.

Most papers concentrate on analysis and interpretation of documentary evidence, including mainly the slim corpus of early written records and the understanding of the etymology of native texts. Of the eleven essays, three are concerned with land tenure. These are 'Aspects of Land Tenure in Ancient Mexico', Land Tenure and Land Inheritance in Late Sixteenth Century Culhuacan' and 'Household Organization on the Texcocan Heartland', respectively by Harvey, Cline and Offner. The other papers are as follows: 'Some problems of Sources', by Woodrow Borah, 'Royal Marriages in Ancient Mexico', by Pedro Carrasco, 'Mexican Pictorial Cadastral Registers' by Barbara J. Williams, 'Rotational Labor and Urban Development in Prehispanic Tetzcoco' by Frederic Hicks, 'Agricultural Implements in Mesoamerica' by Teresa Rojas Rabiela, 'Mexican Toponyms as a Source in Regional Ethnohistory' by Ursula Dyckerhoff, 'The Impact of Spanish Conquest on the Development of the Cultural Landscape in Tlaxcala, Mexico' by Wolfgang Trautmann, and 'Early Spanish Colonization and Indians in the Valley of Atlixco, Puebla' by Hans J. Prem.

of forgery prevalent in documents. and of all the different ways that quoting, borrowing or copying of ical examination of historical accounts, whether Mexican or Spanish.

sixteenth century, by using the clers often characterised petlaoztoc as a case study. He terms of coa and uictli, shows that land-tenure systems were the basic differences in the agrimore flexible than those ever described by Zorita. It is also clear ably contributes to a study that from Harvey's studies that there has lacked a serious and methodowere several ways in which Macehualtin (commoners) could obtain property, but what seems to be most important is that the basic rules in land-tenure patterns go back to Pre-conquest times with little change to the present.

Carrasco's paper on Royal Marriages, is as usual, a careful and and techniques for interpreting and systematic analysis of marriage reconstructing the areas of the alliances among the consanguinal Valley of Mexico and the Puebla-ruling dynasties of ancient Central Tlaxcala region in both late Pre-Mexico. Kingship organization left room for considerable flexibility in adapting to changing political and economic enterprises over time.

technology One often reads that Pre-Hispanic Mexico was primi -

Borah's paper raises the point tive and inadequate. For this reason Rojas' paper is worth noting, as she demonstrates how ill-founded Mexican ethnohistory has been in those statements are. Tool technothe hands of scholars. Not enough logy for agriculture was uncompliimportance has been given to the cated and hand tools predominated. While it was not terribly sophistitexts. Borah insists that progress cated, it is quite evident from the in Mexican ethnohistory will only scale of achievement of Mexican be achieved under a strict method- civilisation itself that the agricultural technology was sufficiently varied and adaptive, Rojas' research is based on information Harvey's useful paper stresses from pictorial and written records, the deficiencies and problems often where she found a predominance of encountered in the available des- hand tools over those where the criptions of land-tenure in the feet were used, the Spanish chronievidence of the locality of Te- cultural tools under the generic ignoring cultural tool repertoire. She notlogical approach.

> Even if some of the papers cluded in this book are to a degree conventional in their approach to native and documentary evidence. presented for the first there is time a greater variety of methods Hispanic and early Post-conquest times.

> Taken as a whole, the book is a most useful review and a valuable contribution to the study of Mexican ethnohistory.