



McDONALD INSTITUTE MONOGRAPHS

Temple landscapes

Fragility, change and resilience of Holocene environments in the Maltese Islands

By Charles French, Chris O. Hunt, Reuben Grima,
Rowan McLaughlin, Simon Stoddart & Caroline Malone



Volume 1 of Fragility and Sustainability – Studies on Early Malta,
the ERC-funded *FRAGSUS Project*

Temple landscapes



McDONALD INSTITUTE MONOGRAPHS

Temple landscapes

Fragility, change and resilience of Holocene environments in the Maltese Islands

By Charles French, Chris O. Hunt, Reuben Grima,
Rowan McLaughlin, Simon Stoddart & Caroline Malone

With contributions by

Gianmarco Alberti, Jeremy Bennett, Maarten Blaauw, Petros Chatzimpaloglou,
Lisa Coyle McClung, Alan J. Cresswell, Nathaniel Cutajar, Michelle Farrell,
Katrin Fenech, Rory P. Flood, Timothy C. Kinnaird, Steve McCarron,
Rowan McLaughlin, John Meneely, Anthony Pace, Sean D.F. Pyne-O'Donnell,
Paula J. Reimer, Alastair Ruffell, George A. Said-Zammit, David C.W. Sanderson,
Patrick J. Schembri, Sean Taylor, David Trumpf, Jonathan Turner, Nicholas C. Vella
& Nathan Wright

Illustrations by

Gianmarco Alberti, Jeremy Bennett, Sara Boyle, Petros Chatzimpaloglou,
Lisa Coyle McClung, Rory P. Flood, Charles French, Chris O. Hunt, Michelle Farrell,
Katrin Fenech, Rowan McLaughlin, John Meneely, Anthony Pace, David Redhouse,
Alastair Ruffell, George A. Said-Zammit & Simon Stoddart



Volume 1 of Fragility and Sustainability – Studies on Early Malta,
the ERC-funded *FRAGSUS Project*



This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-2007-2013) (Grant agreement No. 323727).

Published by:

McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research
University of Cambridge
Downing Street
Cambridge, UK
CB2 3ER
(0)(1223) 339327
eaj31@cam.ac.uk
www.mcdonald.cam.ac.uk



McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, 2020

© 2020 McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

Temple landscapes is made available under a
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-
NoDerivatives 4.0 (International) Licence:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

ISBN: 978-1-902937-99-1

Cover design by Dora Kemp and Ben Plumridge.
Typesetting and layout by Ben Plumridge.

On the cover: *View towards Nadur lighthouse and Ghajnsielem church
with the Gozo Channel to Malta beyond, from In-Nuffara (Caroline Malone).*

Edited for the Institute by James Barrett (*Series Editor*).

CONTENTS

Contributors		xi
Figures		xiii
Tables		xvi
Preface and dedication		xix
Acknowledgements		xxi
Foreword		xxiii
<i>Introduction</i>	CAROLINE MALONE, SIMON STODDART, CHRIS O. HUNT, CHARLES FRENCH, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN & REUBEN GRIMA	1
0.1. Introduction		1
0.2. Background to <i>FRAGSUS</i> as an archaeological project		3
0.3. Environmental research in Malta and the Mediterranean		5
0.4. The development of the <i>FRAGSUS Project</i> and its questions		6
0.5. Archaeological concerns in Maltese prehistory and the <i>FRAGSUS Project</i>		8
0.6. The research programme: the sites and their selection		9
0.7. Investigating the palaeoenvironmental context		10
0.8. Archaeological investigations		11
Part I	The interaction between the natural and cultural landscape – insights into the fifth–second millennia BC	17
<i>Chapter 1</i>	The geology, soils and present-day environment of Gozo and Malta PETROS CHATZIMPALOGLOU, PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI, CHARLES FRENCH, ALASTAIR RUFFELL & SIMON STODDART	19
1.1. Previous work		19
1.2. Geography		19
1.3. Geology		21
1.4. Stratigraphy of the Maltese Islands		23
1.4.1. Lower Coralline Limestone Formation		23
1.4.2. Globigerina Limestone Formation		23
1.4.3. Chert outcrops		25
1.4.4. Blue Clay Formation		26
1.4.5. Greensand Formation		28
1.4.6. Upper Coralline Limestone Formation		28
1.4.7. Quaternary deposits		29
1.5. Structural and tectonic geology of the Maltese Islands		29
1.6. Geomorphology		29
1.7. Soils and landscape		31
1.8. Climate and vegetation		32
<i>Chapter 2</i>	Chronology and stratigraphy of the valley systems CHRIS O. HUNT, MICHELLE FARRELL, KATRIN FENECH, CHARLES FRENCH, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN, MAARTEN BLAAUW, JEREMY BENNETT, RORY P. FLOOD, SEAN D. F. PYNE-O'DONNELL, PAULA J. REIMER, ALASTAIR RUFFELL, ALAN J. CRESSWELL, TIMOTHY C. KINNAIRD, DAVID SANDERSON, SEAN TAYLOR, CAROLINE MALONE, SIMON STODDART & NICHOLAS C. VELLA	35
2.1. Methods for dating environmental and climate change in the Maltese Islands		35
2.1.1. Data sources for chronology building		35
2.1.2. Pottery finds		41

2.2. Basin infill ground penetrating radar surveys	41
ALASTAIR RUFFELL, CHRIS O. HUNT, JEREMY BENNETT, RORY P. FLOOD, SIMON STODDART & CAROLINE MALONE	
2.2.1. <i>Rationale</i>	41
2.2.2. <i>Geophysics for basin fill identification</i>	41
2.2.3. <i>Valley locations</i>	43
2.3. The sediment cores	43
CHRIS O. HUNT, MICHELLE FARRELL, RORY P. FLOOD, KATRIN FENECH, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN, NICHOLAS C. VELLA, SEAN TAYLOR & CHARLES FRENCH	
2.3.1. <i>Aims and methods</i>	43
2.3.2. <i>The core descriptions</i>	49
2.3.3. <i>Magnetic susceptibility and XRF analyses of the cores</i>	59
2.4. Age-depth models	64
MAARTEN BLAUW & ROWAN McLAUGHLIN	
2.4.1. <i>Accumulation rates</i>	64
2.5. A local marine reservoir offset for Malta	65
PAULA J. REIMER	
2.6. Major soil erosion phases	65
RORY P. FLOOD, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN & MICHELLE FARRELL	
2.6.1. <i>Introduction</i>	65
2.6.2. <i>Methods</i>	66
2.6.3. <i>Results</i>	67
2.6.4. <i>Discussion</i>	68
2.6.5. <i>Conclusions</i>	71
Chapter 3 The Holocene vegetation history of the Maltese Islands	73
MICHELLE FARRELL, CHRIS O. HUNT & LISA COYLE McCLUNG	
3.1. Introduction	73
CHRIS O. HUNT	
3.2. Palynological methods	74
LISA COYLE-McCLUNG, MICHELLE FARRELL & CHRIS O. HUNT	
3.3. Taxonomy and ecological classification	75
CHRIS O. HUNT	
3.4. Taphonomy	75
CHRIS O. HUNT & MICHELLE FARRELL	
3.5. The pollen results	87
MICHELLE FARRELL, LISA COYLE-McCLUNG & CHRIS O. HUNT	
3.5.1. <i>The Salina cores</i>	87
3.5.2. <i>Wied Żembaq</i>	87
3.5.3. <i>Xemxija</i>	87
3.5.4. <i>In-Nuffara</i>	87
3.5.5. <i>Santa Verna</i>	95
3.5.6. <i>Ġgantija</i>	105
3.6. Synthesis	107
3.6.1. <i>Pre-agricultural landscapes (pre-5900 cal. BC)</i>	107
3.6.2. <i>First agricultural colonization (5900–5400 cal. BC)</i>	108
3.6.3. <i>Early Neolithic (5400–3900 cal. BC)</i>	109
3.6.4. <i>The later Neolithic Temple period (3900–2350 cal. BC)</i>	110
3.6.5. <i>The late Neolithic–Early Bronze Age transition (2350–2000 cal. BC)</i>	111
3.6.6. <i>The Bronze Age (2000–1000 cal. BC)</i>	112
3.6.7. <i>Late Bronze Age, Punic and Classical periods (c. 1000 cal. BC to AD 1000)</i>	112
3.6.8. <i>Medieval to modern (post-AD 1000)</i>	113
3.7. Conclusions	113

Chapter 4	Molluscan remains from the valley cores	115
	KATRIN FENECH, CHRIS O. HUNT, NICHOLAS C. VELLA & PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI	
	4.1. Introduction	115
	4.2. Material	117
	4.3. Methods	117
	4.4. Radiocarbon dates and Bayesian age-depth models	117
	4.5. Results	117
	4.5.1. <i>Marsaxlokk (MX1)</i>	127
	4.5.2. <i>Wied Żembaq (WŻ)</i>	127
	4.5.3. <i>Mġarr ix-Xini (MĠX)</i>	128
	4.5.4. <i>Marsa 2</i>	128
	4.5.5. <i>Salina Deep Core</i>	133
	4.5.6. <i>Xemxija 1 and 2</i>	152
	4.6. Interpretative discussion	153
	4.6.1. <i>Erosion – evidence of major events from the cores</i>	153
	4.7. Environmental reconstruction based on non-marine molluscs	155
	4.7.1. <i>Early Holocene (c. 8000–6000 cal. BC)</i>	155
	4.7.2. <i>Mid-Holocene (c. 6000–3900 cal. BC)</i>	155
	4.7.3. <i>Temple Period (c. 3900–2400 cal. BC)</i>	155
	4.7.4. <i>Early to later Bronze Age (2400–c. 750 cal. BC)</i>	155
	4.7.5. <i>Latest Bronze Age/early Phoenician period to Late Roman/Byzantine period (c. 750 cal. BC–cal. AD 650)</i>	156
	4.8. Concluding remarks	156
	4.9. Notes on selected species	157
	4.9.1. <i>Extinct species</i>	157
	4.9.2. <i>Species with no previous fossil record</i>	158
	4.9.3. <i>Other indicator species</i>	158
Chapter 5	The geoarchaeology of past landscape sequences on Gozo and Malta	161
	CHARLES FRENCH & SEAN TAYLOR	
	5.1. Introduction	161
	5.2. Methodology and sample locations	164
	5.3. Results	165
	5.3.1. <i>Santa Verna and its environs</i>	165
	5.3.2. <i>Ġgantija temple and its environs</i>	174
	5.3.3. <i>Skorba and its immediate environs</i>	183
	5.3.4. <i>Taċ-Ċawla settlement site</i>	188
	5.3.5. <i>Xaġhra town</i>	190
	5.3.6. <i>Ta' Marżiena</i>	192
	5.3.7. <i>In-Nuffara</i>	192
	5.3.8. <i>The Ramla valley</i>	193
	5.3.9. <i>The Marsalforn valley</i>	195
	5.3.10. <i>Micromorphological analyses of possible soil materials in the Xemxija 1, Wied Żembaq 1, Marsaxlokk and Salina Deep (SDC) cores</i>	196
	5.4. The Holocene landscapes of Gozo and Malta	213
	5.5. A model of landscape development	217
	5.6. Conclusions	221
Chapter 6	Cultural landscapes in the changing environments from 6000 to 2000 BC	223
	REUBEN GRIMA, SIMON STODDART, CHRIS O. HUNT, CHARLES FRENCH, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN & CAROLINE MALONE	
	6.1. Introduction	223
	6.2. A short history of survey of a fragmented island landscape	223
	6.3. Fragmented landscapes	225

6.4. The Neolithic appropriation of the landscape	227
6.5. A world in flux (5800–4800 cal. BC)	227
6.6. The fifth millennium BC hiatus (4980/4690 to 4150/3640 cal. BC)	228
6.7. Reappropriating the landscape: the ‘Temple Culture’	230
6.8. Transition and decline	236
6.9. Conclusion	237
Part II The interaction between the natural and cultural landscape – insights from the second millennium BC to the present: continuing the story	239
<i>Chapter 7</i> Cultural landscapes from 2000 BC onwards	241
SIMON STODDART, ANTHONY PACE, NATHANIEL CUTAJAR, NICHOLAS C. VELLA, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN, CAROLINE MALONE, JOHN MENEELY & DAVID TRUMPT	
7.1. An historiographical introduction to the Neolithic–Bronze Age transition into the Middle Bronze Age	241
7.2. Bronze Age settlements in the landscape	243
7.3. The Bronze Age Phoenician transition and the Phoenician/Punic landscape	246
7.4. Entering the Roman world	250
7.5. Arab	250
7.6. Medieval	251
7.7. The Knights and the entry into the modern period	251
<i>Chapter 8</i> The intensification of the agricultural landscape of the Maltese Archipelago	253
JEREMY BENNETT	
8.1. Introduction	253
8.2. The <i>Annales</i> School and the Anthropocene	254
8.3. The Maltese Archipelago and the <i>longue durée</i> of the Anthropocene	255
8.4. Intensification	257
8.5. Population	258
8.5.1. <i>Sub-carrying capacity periods</i>	258
8.5.2. <i>Post-carrying capacity periods</i>	260
8.6. The agrarian archipelago	262
8.6.1. <i>The agricultural substrate</i>	262
8.6.2. <i>The development of agricultural technology</i>	262
8.7. Discussion: balancing fragility and sustainability	264
<i>Chapter 9</i> Locating potential pastoral foraging routes in Malta through the use of a Geographic Information System	267
GIANMARCO ALBERTI, REUBEN GRIMA & NICHOLAS C. VELLA	
9.1. Introduction	267
9.2. Methods	267
9.2.1. <i>Data sources</i>	267
9.2.2. <i>Foraging routes and least-cost paths calculation</i>	268
9.3. Results	271
9.3.1. <i>Garrigue to garrigue least-cost paths</i>	271
9.3.2. <i>Stables to garrigues least-cost paths</i>	273
9.4. Discussion	276
9.4. Conclusions	283
<i>Chapter 10</i> Settlement evolution in Malta from the Late Middle Ages to the early twentieth century and its impact on domestic space	285
GEORGE A. SAID-ZAMMIT	
10.1. The Medieval Period (AD 870–1530)	285
10.1.1. <i>Medieval houses</i>	288

10.1.2. <i>Giren and hovels</i>	289
10.1.3. <i>Cave-dwellings</i>	292
10.1.4. <i>Architectural development</i>	292
10.2. The Knights' Period (AD 1530–1798)	293
10.2.1. <i>The phase AD 1530–1565</i>	293
10.2.2. <i>The phase AD 1565–1798</i>	293
10.2.3. <i>Early modern houses</i>	294
10.2.4. <i>Lower class dwellings</i>	297
10.2.5. <i>Cave-dwellings and hovels</i>	298
10.2.6. <i>The houses: a reflection of social and economic change</i>	298
10.3. The British Period (AD 1800–1900)	298
10.3.1. <i>The houses of the British Period</i>	299
10.3.2. <i>The effect of the Victorian Age</i>	300
10.3.3. <i>Urban lower class dwellings</i>	301
10.3.4. <i>Peasant houses, cave-dwellings and hovels</i>	301
10.4. Conclusions	302
<i>Chapter 11</i> Conclusions	303
CHARLES FRENCH, CHRIS O. HUNT, MICHELLE FARRELL, KATRIN FENECH, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN, REUBEN GRIMA, NICHOLAS C. VELLA, PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI, SIMON STODDART & CAROLINE MALONE	
11.1. The palynological record	303
CHRIS O. HUNT & MICHELLE FARRELL	
11.1.1. <i>Climate</i>	303
11.1.2. <i>Farming and anthropogenic impacts on vegetation</i>	307
11.2. The molluscan record	308
KATRIN FENECH, CHRIS O. HUNT, NICHOLAS C. VELLA & PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI	
11.3. The soil/sediment record	310
CHARLES FRENCH	
11.4. Discontinuities in Maltese prehistory and the influence of climate	313
CHRIS O. HUNT	
11.5. Environmental metastability and the <i>longue durée</i>	314
CHRIS O. HUNT	
11.6. Implications for the human story of the Maltese Islands	316
CHARLES FRENCH, CHRIS O. HUNT, CAROLINE MALONE, KATRIN FENECH, MICHELLE FARRELL, ROWAN McLAUGHLIN, REUBEN GRIMA, PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI & SIMON STODDART	
References	325
<i>Appendix 1</i> How ground penetrating radar (GPR) works	351
ALASTAIR RUFFELL	
<i>Appendix 2</i> Luminescence analysis and dating of sediments from archaeological sites and valley fill sequences	353
ALAN J. CRESSWELL, DAVID C.W. SANDERSON, TIMOTHY C. KINNAIRD & CHARLES FRENCH	
A2.1. Summary	353
A2.2. Introduction	354
A2.3. Methods	355
A2.3.1. <i>Sampling and field screening measurements</i>	355
A2.3.2. <i>Laboratory calibrated screening measurements</i>	355
A2.4. Quartz OSL SAR measurements	356
A2.4.1. <i>Sample preparation</i>	356
A2.4.2. <i>Measurements and determinations</i>	356

A2.5. Results	357
A2.5.1. Sampling and preliminary luminescence stratigraphies	357
A2.5.2. Gozo	357
A2.5.3. Skorba	363
A2.5.4. Tal-Istabal, Qormi	363
A2.6. Laboratory calibrated screening measurements	363
A2.6.1. Dose rates	367
A2.6.2. Quartz single aliquot equivalent dose determinations	367
A2.6.3. Age determinations	371
A2.7. Discussion	372
A2.7.1. Ġgantija Temple (SUTL2914 and 2915)	372
A2.7.2. Ramla and Marsalforn Valleys (SUTL2917–2923)	373
A2.7.3. Skorba Neolithic site (SUTL2925–2927)s	373
A2.7.4. Tal-Istabal, Qormi (SUTL2930)	376
A2.7. Conclusions	376
Appendix 2 – Supplements A–D	379
Appendix 3	401
Deep core borehole logs	
CHRIS O. HUNT, KATRIN FENECH, MICHELLE FARRELL & ROWAN McLAUGHLIN	
Appendix 4	421 (online edition only)
Granulometry of the deep cores	
KATRIN FENECH	
Appendix 5	441 (online edition only)
The molluscan counts for the deep cores	
KATRIN FENECH	
Appendix 6	535
The borehole and test excavation profile log descriptions	
CHARLES FRENCH & SEAN TAYLOR	
Appendix 7	549
The detailed soil micromorphological descriptions from the buried soils and Ramla and Marsalforn valleys	
CHARLES FRENCH	
A7.1. Santa Verna	549
A7.2. Ġgantija Test Pit 1	551
A7.3. Ġgantija WC Trench 1	552
A7.4. Ġgantija olive grove and environs	553
A7.5. Skorba	553
A7.6. Xagħra town	554
A7.7. Taċ-Ċawla	555
A7.8. In-Nuffara	555
A7.9. Marsalforn Valley Profile 626	556
A7.10. Ramla Valley Profile 627	556
A7.11. Dwerja	556
Appendix 8	557
The micromorphological descriptions for the Malta deep cores of Xemxija 1, Wied Żembaq 1, Marsaxlokk and the base of the Salina Deep Core (21B)	
CHARLES FRENCH & SEAN TAYLOR	
Appendix 9	563
The charcoal data	
NATHAN WRIGHT	
Index	565

CONTRIBUTORS

DR GIANMARCO ALBERTI

Department of Criminology, Faculty for Social
Wellbeing, University of Malta, Msida, Malta
Email: gianmarco.alberti@um.edu.mt

JEREMY BENNETT

Department of Archaeology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Email: jmb241@cam.ac.uk

DR MAARTEN BLAAUW

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: marten.blaauw@qub.ac.uk

DR PETROS CHATZIMPALOGLOU

Department of Archaeology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Email: pc529@cam.ac.uk

DR LISA COYLE MCCLUNG

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: l.coylemcclung@qub.ac.uk

DR ALAN J. CRESSWELL

SUERC, University of Glasgow, East Kilbride,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland
Email: alan.cresswell@glasgow.ac.uk

NATHANIEL CUTAJAR

Deputy Superintendent of Cultural Heritage,
Heritage Malta, Valletta, Malta
Email: nathaniel.cutajar@gov.mt

DR MICHELLE FARRELL

Centre for Agroecology, Water and Resilience,
School of Energy, Construction and Environment,
Coventry University, Coventry, UK
Email: ac5086@coventry.ac.uk

DR KATRIN FENECH

Department of Classics & Archaeology, University
of Malta, Msida, Malta
Email: katrin.fenech@um.edu.mt

DR RORY P. FLOOD

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: r.flood@qub.ac.uk

PROF. CHARLES FRENCH

Department of Archaeology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Email: caif2@cam.ac.uk

DR REUBEN GRIMA

Department of Conservation and Built Heritage,
University of Malta, Msida, Malta
Email: reuben.grima@um.edu.mt

DR EVAN A. HILL

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: ehill08@qub.ac.uk

PROF. CHRIS O. HUNT

Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores
University, Liverpool, UK
Email: c.o.hunt@ljmu.ac.uk

DR TIMOTHY C. KINNAIRD

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences,
University of St Andrews, St. Andrews, Scotland
Email: tk17@st-andrews.ac.uk

PROF. CAROLINE MALONE

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, BT7 1NN,
Northern Ireland
Email: c.malone@qub.ac.uk

DR STEVE MCCARRON

Department of Geography, National University of
Ireland, Maynooth, Ireland
Email: stephen.mccarron@mu.ie

DR ROWAN McLAUGHLIN

School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: r.mclaughlin@qub.ac.uk

JOHN MENEELY
School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: j.meneely@qub.ac.uk

DR ANTHONY PACE
UNESCO Cultural Heritage, Valletta, Malta
Email: anthonypace@cantab.net

DR SEAN D.F. PYNE-O'DONNELL
Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang
Technological University, Singapore
Email: sean.1000@hotmail.co.uk

PROF. PAULA J. REIMER
School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: p.j.reimer@qub.ac.uk

DR ALASTAIR RUFFELL
School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's
University, University Road, Belfast, Northern
Ireland
Email: a.ruffell@qub.ac.uk

GEORGE A. SAID-ZAMMIT
Department of Examinations, Ministry for
Education and Employment, Government of Malta,
Malta
Email: george.said-zammit@gov.mt

PROF. DAVID C.W. SANDERSON
SUERC, University of Glasgow, East Kilbride,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland
Email: david.sanderson@glasgow.ac.uk

PROF. PATRICK J. SCHEMBRI
Department of Biology, University of Malta,
Msida, Malta
Email: patrick.j.schembri@um.edu.mt

DR SIMON STODDART
Department of Archaeology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Email: ss16@cam.ac.uk

DR SEAN TAYLOR
Department of Archaeology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Email: st435@cam.ac.uk

DR DAVID TRUMPT

DR JONATHAN TURNER
Department of Geography, National University
of Ireland, University College, Dublin, Ireland
Email: jonathan.turner@ucd.ie

PROF. NICHOLAS C. VELLA
Department of Classics and Archaeology, Faculty
of Arts, University of Malta, Msida, Malta
Email: nicholas.vella@um.edu.mt

DR NATHAN WRIGHT
School of Social Science, The University of
Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
Email: n.wright@uq.edu.au

Figures

0.1	<i>Location map of the Maltese Islands in the southern Mediterranean Sea.</i>	2
0.2	<i>Location of the main Neolithic archaeological and deep coring sites investigated on Malta and Gozo.</i>	11
0.3	<i>Some views of previous excavations on Malta and Gozo.</i>	12–13
0.4	<i>Some views of recent excavations.</i>	14
1.1	<i>The location of the Maltese Islands in the southern Mediterranean Sea with respect to Sicily and North Africa.</i>	20
1.2	<i>Stratigraphic column of the geological formations reported for the Maltese Islands.</i>	22
1.3	<i>Geological map of the Maltese Islands.</i>	22
1.4	<i>Typical coastal outcrops of Lower Coralline Limestone, forming sheer cliffs.</i>	23
1.5	<i>Characteristic geomorphological features developed on the Lower Coralline Limestone in western Gozo (Dwerja Point).</i>	24
1.6	<i>The Middle Globigerina Limestone at the Xwejni coastline.</i>	24
1.7	<i>An overview of the area investigated in western Malta.</i>	25
1.8	<i>The end of the major fault system of Malta (Victorian Lines) at Fomm Ir-Rih.</i>	26
1.9	<i>An overview of the western part of Gozo where the chert outcrops are located.</i>	27
1.10	<i>Chert outcrops: a) and c) bedded chert, and b) and d) nodular chert.</i>	27
1.11	<i>Four characteristic exposures of the Blue Clay formation on Gozo and Malta.</i>	28
1.12	<i>Map of the fault systems, arranged often as northwest–southeast oriented graben, and strike-slip structures.</i>	30
2.1	<i>Summary of new radiocarbon dating of Neolithic and Bronze Age sites on Gozo and Malta.</i>	36
2.2	<i>Summed radiocarbon ages for the main sediment cores.</i>	36
2.3	<i>The location of the Birżebbuġa Għar Dalam and Borġ in-Nadur basins and their GNSS-located GPR lines.</i>	42
2.4	<i>The core locations in Malta and Gozo.</i>	44
2.5	<i>Radiocarbon activity in settlement cores.</i>	48
2.6	<i>The Xemxija 2 core by depth.</i>	51
2.7	<i>The Wied Żembaq 1 and 2 cores by depth.</i>	52
2.8	<i>The Mġarr ix-Xini core by depth.</i>	54
2.9	<i>The Marsaxlokk 1 core and part of 2 by depth.</i>	55
2.10	<i>The resistivity and magnetic susceptibility graphs for Xemxija 1 core.</i>	60
2.11	<i>The resistivity and magnetic susceptibility graphs for Xemxija 2 core.</i>	60
2.12	<i>The multi-element data plots for Xemxija 1 core.</i>	61
2.13	<i>The multi-element data plots for Wied Żembaq 1 core.</i>	62
2.14	<i>The multi-element data plots for Marsaxlokk 1 core.</i>	63
2.15	<i>RUSLE models of soil erosion for the Maltese Islands in September and March.</i>	69
2.16	<i>R and C factors and their product.</i>	70
3.1	<i>Valley catchments and core locations in the Mistra area of Malta.</i>	79
3.2	<i>The modern pollen spectra.</i>	81
3.3	<i>Pollen zonation for the Salina Deep Core.</i>	82–3
3.4	<i>Pollen zonation for the Salina 4 core.</i>	88–9
3.5	<i>Pollen zonation for the Wied Żembaq 1 core.</i>	92–3
3.6	<i>Pollen zonation for the Xemxija 1 core.</i>	96–7
3.7	<i>Pollen zonation for the pit fills at In-Nuffara.</i>	101
3.8	<i>Pollen and palynofacies from the buried soils below the temple at Santa Verna.</i>	102
3.9	<i>Pollen and palynofacies from Test Pit 1 on the southwestern edge of the Ġgantija platform.</i>	104
3.10	<i>Photomicrographs (x800) of key components of the palynofacies at Santa Verna and Ġgantija.</i>	106
4.1	<i>Marsaxlokk 1 molluscan histogram.</i>	120
4.2	<i>Wied Żembaq 1 molluscan histogram.</i>	122
4.3	<i>Mġarr ix-Xini molluscan histogram.</i>	129
4.4	<i>Marsa 2 molluscan histogram.</i>	134
4.5	<i>Salina Deep Core molluscan histogram.</i>	138
4.6	<i>Marine molluscan histogram for the Salina Deep Core.</i>	139

4.7	<i>Xemxija 1 molluscan histogram.</i>	144
4.8	<i>Base of Xemxija 2 molluscan histogram.</i>	145
5.1	<i>Location map of the test excavation/sample sites and geoarchaeological survey areas on Gozo and Malta.</i>	164
5.2	<i>Plan of Santa Verna temple and the locations of the test trenches.</i>	166
5.3	<i>Santa Verna excavation trench profiles all with sample locations marked.</i>	167
5.4	<i>The red-brown buried soil profiles in Trench E, the Ashby and Trump Sondages within the Santa Verna temple site.</i>	170
5.5	<i>Santa Verna soil photomicrographs.</i>	172–3
5.6	<i>Plan of Ġgantija temple and locations of Test Pit 1 and the WC Trench excavations, with as-dug views of the WC Trench and TP1.</i>	175
5.7	<i>Section profiles of Ġgantija Test Pit 1 on the southwest side of Ġgantija temple and the east-west section of the Ġgantija WC Trench on the southeast side.</i>	176
5.8	<i>Ġgantija TP 1 photomicrographs.</i>	178
5.9	<i>Ġgantija WC Trench 1 photomicrographs.</i>	180
5.10	<i>Section profiles of Trench A at Skorba showing the locations of the micromorphological and OSL samples.</i>	183
5.11	<i>Skorba Trench A, section 1, photomicrographs.</i>	185
5.12	<i>Skorba Trench A, section 2, photomicrographs.</i>	186
5.13	<i>Taċ-Ċawla soil photomicrographs.</i>	189
5.14	<i>A typical terra rossa soil sequence in Xaghra town at construction site 2.</i>	191
5.15	<i>Xaghra soil photomicrographs.</i>	191
5.16	<i>In-Nuffara photomicrographs.</i>	193
5.17	<i>The Marsalforn (Pr 626) and Ramla (Pr 627) valley fill sequences, with the micromorphology samples and OSL profiling/dating loci marked.</i>	194
5.18	<i>Ramla and Marsalforn valley profiles soil photomicrographs.</i>	195
5.19	<i>Photomicrographs of the Blue Clay and Greensand geological substrates from the Ramla valley.</i>	199
5.20	<i>Xemxija 1 deep valley core photomicrographs.</i>	202
5.21	<i>Wied Żembaq 1 deep valley core photomicrographs.</i>	206
5.22	<i>Marsaxlokk and Salina Deep Core photomicrographs.</i>	210
5.23	<i>Scrub woodland on an abandoned terrace system and garrigue plateau land on the north coast of Gozo.</i>	213
5.24	<i>Terracing within land parcels (defined by modern sinuous lanes) on the Blue Clay slopes of the Ramla valley with Xaghra in the background.</i>	216
6.1	<i>The location of the Cambridge Gozo Project survey areas.</i>	224
6.2	<i>Fieldwalking survey data from around A. Ta Kuljat, B. Santa Verna, and C. Ghajnsielem on Gozo from the Cambridge Gozo survey and the FRAGSUS Project.</i>	227
6.3	<i>The first cycle of Neolithic occupation as recorded by the Cambridge Gozo survey using kernel density analysis for the Ghar Dalam, Red Skorba and Grey Skorba phases.</i>	229
6.4	<i>The first half of the second cycle of Neolithic occupation as recorded by the Cambridge Gozo survey using kernel density analysis implemented for the Żebbuġ and Mġarr phases.</i>	232
6.5	<i>The second half of the second cycle of Neolithic occupation as recorded by the Cambridge Gozo survey using kernel density analysis for the Ġgantija and Tarxien phases.</i>	233
7.1	<i>Kernel density analysis of the Tarxien Cemetery, Borġ in-Nadur and Bahrija periods for the areas covered by the Cambridge Gozo survey.</i>	244
7.2a	<i>The evidence for Bronze Age settlement in the Mdina area on Malta.</i>	245
7.2b	<i>The evidence for Bronze Age settlement in the Rabat (Gozo) area.</i>	245
7.3	<i>Distribution of Early Bronze Age dolmen on the Maltese Islands.</i>	246
7.4	<i>Distribution of presses discovered in the Mġarr ix-Xini valley during the survey.</i>	248
7.5	<i>The cultural heritage record of the Punic tower in Żurrieq through the centuries.</i>	249
7.6	<i>The changing patterns of social resilience, connectivity and population over the course of the centuries in the Maltese Islands.</i>	252
8.1	<i>An oblique aerial image of the northern slopes of the Maghtab land-fill site, depicting landscaping efforts including 'artificial' terracing.</i>	256
8.2	<i>RUSLE estimates of areas of low and moderate erosion for Gozo and Malta.</i>	259
9.1	<i>a) Sheep being led to their fold in Pwales down a track; b) Sheep grazing along a track on the Bajda Ridge in Xemxija, Malta.</i>	269

9.2	<i>Least-cost paths (LCPs), connecting garrigue areas, representing potential foraging routes across the Maltese landscape.</i>	271
9.3	<i>Density of LCPs connecting garrigue areas to random points within the garrigue areas themselves.</i>	272
9.4	<i>Location of 'public spaces', with size proportional to the distance to the nearest garrigue-to-garrigue LCP.</i>	273
9.5	<i>LCPs connecting farmhouses hosting animal pens to randomly generated points within garrigue areas in northwestern (A) and northeastern (B) Malta.</i>	274
9.6	<i>As for Figure 9.5, but representing west-central and east-central Malta.</i>	274
9.7	<i>As for Figure 9.5, but representing southern and southwestern Malta.</i>	275
9.8	<i>Location of 'public spaces', with size proportional to the distance to the nearest outbound journey.</i>	276
9.9	<i>a) Public space at Tal-Wei, between the modern town of Mosta and Naxxar; b) Tal-Wei public space as represented in 1940s survey sheets.</i>	277
9.10	<i>Approximate location of the (mostly disappeared) raħal toponyms.</i>	279
9.11	<i>Isochrones around farmhouse 4 representing the space that can be covered at 1-hour intervals considering animal walking speed.</i>	280
9.12	<i>Isochrones around farmhouse 2 representing the space that can be covered at 1-hour intervals considering animal walking speed (grazing while walking).</i>	281
9.13	<i>a) Isochrones around farmhouse 5 representing the space that can be covered at 1-hour intervals; b) Isochrones around farmhouse 6; c) Isochrones around farmhouse 7.</i>	282
10.1	<i>The likely distribution of built-up and cave-dwellings in the second half of the fourteenth century.</i>	286
10.2	<i>The lower frequency of settlement distribution by c. AD 1420.</i>	286
10.3	<i>The distribution of settlements just before AD 1530.</i>	288
10.4	<i>The late medieval Falson Palace in Mdina.</i>	289
10.5	<i>A girna integral with and surrounded by stone dry walling.</i>	290
10.6	<i>A hovel dwelling with a flight of rock-cut steps.</i>	291
10.7	<i>The hierarchical organisation of settlements continued, with the addition of Valletta, Floriana and the new towns around Birgu.</i>	295
10.8	<i>An example of a seventeenth century townhouse with open and closed timber balconies.</i>	296
10.9	<i>An example of a two-storey razzett belonging to a wealthier peasant family.</i>	297
10.10	<i>The distribution of built-up settlements in about AD 1900.</i>	299
10.11	<i>An example of a Neo-Classical house.</i>	301
11.1	<i>Summary of tree and shrub pollen frequencies at 10 sample sites.</i>	304
11.2	<i>Summary of cereal pollen frequencies at 14 sample sites.</i>	305
11.3	<i>Schematic profiles of possible trajectories of soil development in the major geological zones of Malta and Gozo.</i>	311
11.4	<i>The main elements of a new cultural-environmental story of the Maltese Islands throughout the last 10,000 years.</i>	317
A2.1	<i>Marsalforn valley, Gozo.</i>	360
A2.2	<i>Marsalforn valley, Gozo.</i>	361
A2.3	<i>Ramla valley, Gozo.</i>	361
A2.4	<i>Ġgantija Test Pit 1, Gozo.</i>	361
A2.5	<i>Skorba Neolithic site; trench A, East section; trench A, South section.</i>	362
A2.6	<i>Skorba, Trench A, South section.</i>	362
A2.7	<i>Tal-Istabal, Qormi, Malta.</i>	364
A2.8	<i>Tal-Istabal, Qormi, Malta.</i>	364
A2.9	<i>Photograph, showing locations of profile sample and OSL tubes, and luminescence-depth profile, for the sediment stratigraphy sampled in profile 1.</i>	365
A2.10	<i>Photograph, and luminescence-depth profile, for the sediment stratigraphy sampled in profile 3.</i>	365
A2.11	<i>Photograph, and luminescence-depth profile, for the sediment stratigraphy sampled in profile 2.</i>	366
A2.12	<i>Photograph, and luminescence-depth profile, for the sediment stratigraphy sampled in profiles 4 and 6.</i>	366
A2.13	<i>Photograph, and luminescence-depth profile, for the sediment stratigraphy sampled in profile 5.</i>	367
A2.14	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2916 (P1).</i>	370
A2.15	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2920 (P2).</i>	370
A2.16	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2913 (P3).</i>	370
A2.17	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2924 (P4).</i>	370

A2.18	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2929 (P5).</i>	371
A2.19	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2928 (P6).</i>	371
A2.20	<i>Apparent dose and sensitivity for laboratory OSL and IRSL profile measurements for SUTL2931 (P7).</i>	371
A2.21	<i>Probability Distribution Functions for the stored dose on samples SUTL2914 and 2915.</i>	374
A2.22	<i>Probability Distribution Functions for the stored dose on samples SUTL2917–2919.</i>	374
A2.23	<i>Probability Distribution Functions for the stored dose on samples SUTL2921–2923.</i>	375
A2.24	<i>Probability Distribution Functions for the stored dose on samples SUTL2925–2927.</i>	375
A2.25	<i>Probability Distribution Function for the stored dose on sample SUTL2930.</i>	376
SB.1	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2914.</i>	385
SB.2	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2915.</i>	385
SB.3	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2917.</i>	386
SB.4	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2918.</i>	386
SB.5	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2919.</i>	387
SB.6	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2921.</i>	387
SB.7	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2922.</i>	388
SB.8	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2923.</i>	388
SB.9	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2925.</i>	389
SB.10	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2926.</i>	389
SB.11	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2927.</i>	390
SB.12	<i>Dose response curves for SUTL2930.</i>	390
SC.1	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2914.</i>	391
SC.2	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2915.</i>	391
SC.3	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2917.</i>	392
SC.4	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2918.</i>	392
SC.5	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2919.</i>	392
SC.6	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2921.</i>	393
SC.7	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2922.</i>	393
SC.8	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2923.</i>	393
SC.9	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2925.</i>	394
SC.10	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2926.</i>	394
SC.11	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2927.</i>	394
SC.12	<i>Abanico plot for SUTL2930.</i>	395
SD.1	<i>Apparent ages for profile 1, with OSL ages.</i>	397
SD.2	<i>Apparent ages for profile 2, with OSL ages.</i>	397
SD.3	<i>Apparent ages for profile 3, with OSL ages.</i>	398
SD.4	<i>Apparent ages for profiles 4 and 6, with OSL ages.</i>	398
SD.5	<i>Apparent ages for profile 5, with OSL ages.</i>	399
SD.6	<i>Apparent ages for profile 7.</i>	399

Tables

1.1	<i>Description of the geological formations found on the Maltese Islands.</i>	21
2.1	<i>The cultural sequence of the Maltese Islands (with all dates calibrated).</i>	37
2.2	<i>Quartz OSL sediment ages from the Marsalforn (2917–2919) and Ramla (2921–2923) valleys, the Skorba temple/buried soil (2925–2927) and Tal-Istabal, Qormi, soil (2930).</i>	40
2.3	<i>Dating results for positions in the sediment cores.</i>	45
2.4	<i>Summary stratigraphic descriptions of the sequences in the deep core profiles.</i>	57
2.5	<i>Mean sediment accumulation rates per area versus time for the deep cores.</i>	64
2.6	<i>Radiocarbon measurements and ΔR values from early twentieth century marine shells from Malta.</i>	65
2.7	<i>Calibrated AMS ^{14}C dates of charred plant remains from Santa Verna palaeosol, Gozo.</i>	68
2.8	<i>Physical properties of the catchments.</i>	68
2.9	<i>Normalized Diffuse Vegetation Index (NDVI) for the catchments in 2014–15 and average rainfall data for the weather station at Balzan for the period 1985 to 2012.</i>	69
3.1	<i>Semi-natural plant communities in the Maltese Islands.</i>	76

3.2	<i>Attribution of pollen taxa to plant communities in the Maltese Islands and more widely in the Central Mediterranean.</i>	77
3.3	<i>Characteristics of the taphonomic samples from on-shore and off-shore Mistra Valley, Malta.</i>	80
3.4	<i>The pollen zonation of the Salina Deep Core with modelled age-depths.</i>	84
3.5	<i>The pollen zonation of the Salina 4 core with modelled age-depths.</i>	90
3.6	<i>The pollen zonation of the Wied Żembaq 1 core with modelled age-depths.</i>	94
3.7	<i>The pollen zonation of the Xemxija 1 core with modelled age-depths.</i>	98
3.8	<i>The pollen zonation of the fill of a Bronze Age silo at In-Nuffara, Gozo.</i>	103
3.9	<i>Summary of the pollen analyses of the buried soil below the Santa Verna temple structure.</i>	103
3.10	<i>Summary of the pollen analyses from the buried soil in Ġgantija Test Pit 1.</i>	105
3.11	<i>Activity on Temple sites and high cereal pollen in adjacent cores.</i>	105
4.1	<i>List of freshwater molluscs and land snails found in the cores, habitat requirement, palaeontological record and current status and conservation in the Maltese Islands.</i>	118
4.2	<i>Molluscan zones for the Marsaxlokk 1 core (MX1).</i>	121
4.3	<i>Molluscan zones for the Wied Żembaq 1 core (WŻ1).</i>	123
4.4	<i>Molluscan zones for the Wied Żembaq 2 core (WŻ2).</i>	125
4.5	<i>Integration of molluscan zones from the Wied Żembaq 1 and 2 cores.</i>	128
4.6	<i>Molluscan zones for the Mgarr ix-Xini 1 core (MGX1).</i>	130
4.7	<i>Molluscan zones for the Marsa 2 core (MC2).</i>	135
4.8	<i>The non-marine molluscan zones for the Salina Deep Core (SDC).</i>	140
4.9	<i>Molluscan zones for the Salina Deep Core (SDC).</i>	142
4.10	<i>Molluscan zones for the Xemxija 1 core (XEM1).</i>	146
4.11	<i>Molluscan zones for the Xemxija 2 core (XEM2).</i>	148
4.12	<i>Correlation and integration of molluscan data from Xemxija 1 (XEM1) and Xemxija 2 (XEM2).</i>	151
5.1	<i>Micromorphology and small bulk sample sites and numbers.</i>	162
5.2	<i>Summary of available dating for the sites investigated in Gozo and Malta.</i>	163
5.3	<i>pH, magnetic susceptibility, loss-on-ignition, calcium carbonate and % sand/silt/clay particle size analysis results for the Ġgantija, Santa Verna and the Xaghra town profiles, Gozo.</i>	168
5.4	<i>Selected multi-element results for Ġgantija, Santa Verna and Xaghra town buried soils, and the Marsalforn and Ramla valley sequences, Gozo.</i>	169
5.5	<i>Summary of the main soil micromorphological observations for the Santa Verna, Ġgantija and the Xaghra town profiles, Gozo.</i>	181
5.6	<i>pH, magnetic susceptibility and selected multi-element results for the palaeosols in section 1, Trench A, Skorba.</i>	184
5.7	<i>Loss-on-ignition organic/carbon/calcium carbonate frequencies and particle size analysis results for the palaeosols in section 1, Trench A, Skorba.</i>	184
5.8	<i>Summary of the main soil micromorphological observations of the buried soils in sections 1 and 2, Trench A, Skorba.</i>	188
5.9	<i>Summary of the main soil micromorphological observations of the possible buried soils at Taċ-Ċawla.</i>	189
5.10	<i>Field descriptions and micromorphological observations for the quarry and construction site profiles in Xaghra town.</i>	190
5.11	<i>Sample contexts and micromorphological observations for two silo fills at In-Nuffara.</i>	192
5.12	<i>Summary of the main soil micromorphological observations from the Ramla and Marsalforn valley fill profiles.</i>	196
5.13	<i>Main characteristics of the Upper and Lower Coralline Limestone, Globigerina Limestone, Blue Clay and Greensand.</i>	197
5.14	<i>Summary micromorphological descriptions and suggested interpretations for the Xemxija 1 core.</i>	200
5.15	<i>Summary micromorphological descriptions and suggested interpretations for the Wied Żembaq 1 core.</i>	207
5.16	<i>Summary micromorphological descriptions and suggested interpretations for the Marsaxlokk 1 core.</i>	209
5.17	<i>Summary micromorphological descriptions and suggested interpretations for the base zone of the base of the Salina Deep Core.</i>	211
8.1	<i>Carrying capacity estimates for the Neolithic/Temple Period of the Maltese Archipelago.</i>	258
8.2	<i>Summary of population changes in the Maltese Archipelago.</i>	261
11.1	<i>Summary of the environmental and vegetation changes in the Maltese Islands over the longue durée.</i>	306

11.2	<i>Summary of events revealed by the molluscan data in the deep cores.</i>	309
11.3	<i>Major phases of soil, vegetation and landscape development and change during the Holocene.</i>	312
11.4	<i>Occurrence of gypsum in FRAGSUS cores and contemporary events.</i>	314
A2.1	<i>Sample descriptions, contexts and archaeological significance of the profiling samples used for initial screening and laboratory characterization.</i>	358
A2.2	<i>Sample descriptions, contexts and archaeological significance of sediment samples SUTL2914–2930.</i>	360
A2.3	<i>Activity and equivalent concentrations of K, U and Th determined by HRGS.</i>	368
A2.4	<i>Infinite matrix dose rates determined by HRGS and TSBC.</i>	368
A2.5	<i>Effective beta and gamma dose rates following water correction.</i>	369
A2.6	<i>SAR quality parameters.</i>	369
A2.7	<i>Comments on equivalent dose distributions of SUTL2914 to SUTL2930.</i>	372
A2.8	<i>Quartz OSL sediment ages.</i>	372
A2.9	<i>Locations, dates and archaeological significance of sediment samples SUTL2914–2930.</i>	373
SA.1	<i>Field profiling data, as obtained using portable OSL equipment, for the sediment stratigraphies examined on Gozo and Malta.</i>	379
SA.2	<i>OSL screening measurements on paired aliquots of 90–250 µm 40% HF-etched ‘quartz’.</i>	380
SA.3	<i>OSL screening measurements on three aliquots of 90–250 µm 40% HF-etched ‘quartz’ for SUTL2924.</i>	382
SA.4	<i>IRSL screening measurements on paired aliquots of 90–250 µm 15% HF-etched ‘polym mineral’.</i>	382
SA.5	<i>IRSL screening measurements on three aliquots of 90–250 µm 15% HF-etched ‘polym mineral’ for SUTL2924.</i>	383
A3.1	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Salina Deep Core.</i>	401
A3.2	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Salina 4 core.</i>	405
A3.3	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Salina 2 core.</i>	407
A3.4	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Xemxija 1 core.</i>	408
A3.5	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Xemxija 2 core.</i>	411
A3.6	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Wied Żembaq 1 core.</i>	413
A3.7	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Wied Żembaq 2 core.</i>	413
A3.8	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Mgarr ix-Xini core.</i>	414
A3.9	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Marsaxlokk core.</i>	416
A3.10	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Marsa 2 core.</i>	417
A3.11	<i>Stratigraphy and interpretation of the Mellieħa Bay core.</i>	418
A3.12	<i>Key to the scheme for the description of Quaternary sediments.</i>	419
A4.1	<i>Marsa 2.</i>	421 (online edition only)
A4.2	<i>Mgarr ix-Xini.</i>	424 (online edition only)
A4.3	<i>Salina Deep Core.</i>	427 (online edition only)
A4.4	<i>Wied Żembaq 2.</i>	429 (online edition only)
A4.5	<i>Wied Żembaq 1.</i>	430 (online edition only)
A4.6	<i>Xemxija 1.</i>	432 (online edition only)
A4.7	<i>Xemxija 2.</i>	435 (online edition only)
A4.8	<i>Marsaxlokk 1.</i>	438 (online edition only)
A5.1	<i>Marsa 2.</i>	442 (online edition only)
A5.2	<i>Mgarr ix-Xini.</i>	456 (online edition only)
A5.3	<i>Salina Deep Core non-marine.</i>	466 (online edition only)
A5.4	<i>Salina Deep Core marine.</i>	478 (online edition only)
A5.5	<i>Wied Żembaq 2.</i>	490 (online edition only)
A5.6	<i>Wied Żembaq 1.</i>	496 (online edition only)
A5.7	<i>Xemxija 1.</i>	502 (online edition only)
A5.8	<i>Xemxija 2.</i>	516 (online edition only)
A5.9	<i>Marsaxlokk 1.</i>	528 (online edition only)
A8.1	<i>Xemxija 1 core micromorphology sample descriptions.</i>	557
A8.2	<i>Wied Żembaq 1 core micromorphology sample descriptions.</i>	559
A8.3	<i>Marsaxlokk core micromorphology sample descriptions.</i>	560
A8.4	<i>Salina Deep Core micromorphology sample descriptions.</i>	561
A9.1	<i>The charcoal data from the Skorba, Kordin, In-Nuffara and Salina Deep Core.</i>	563

Preface and dedication

Caroline Malone

The *FRAGSUS Project* emerged as the direct result of an invitation to undertake new archaeological fieldwork in Malta in 1985. Anthony Bonanno of the University of Malta organized a conference on ‘The Mother Goddess of the Mediterranean’ in which Colin Renfrew was a participant. The discussions that resulted prompted an invitation that made its way to David Trump (Tutor in Continuing Education, Cambridge University), Caroline Malone (then Curator of the Avebury Keiller Museum) and Simon Stoddart (then a post-graduate researcher in Cambridge). We eagerly took up the invitation to devise a new collaborative, scientifically based programme of research on prehistoric Malta.

What resulted was the original Cambridge Gozo Project (1987–94) and the excavations of the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle and the Ġhajnsielem Road Neolithic house. Both those sites had been found by local antiquarian, Joseph Attard-Tabone, a long-established figure in the island for his work on conservation and site identification.

As this and the two other volumes in this series report, the original Cambridge Gozo Project was the germ of a rich and fruitful academic collaboration that has had international impact, and has influenced successive generations of young archaeologists in Malta and beyond.

As the Principal Investigator of the *FRAGSUS Project*, on behalf of the very extensive *FRAGSUS* team I want to dedicate this the first volume of the series to the enlightened scholars who set up this now 35 year-long collaboration of prehistoric inquiry with our heartfelt thanks for their role in our studies.

We dedicate this volume to:

Joseph Attard Tabone
Professor Anthony Bonanno
Professor Lord Colin Renfrew

and offer our profound thanks for their continuing role in promoting the prehistory of Malta.

Acknowledgements

This volume records research undertaken with funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement n. 323727 (*FRAGSUS Project: Fragility and sustainability in small island environments: adaptation, cultural change and collapse in prehistory* – <http://www.qub.ac.uk/sites/FRAGSUS/>). All the authors of this volume are indebted to the ERC for its financial support, and to the Principal Investigator of the *FRAGSUS Project*, Prof. Caroline Malone (Queen's University, Belfast, UK), for her central role in devising the project and seeing this research through to publication.

For Chapter 2, we extend warm thanks to the staff of the ¹⁴CHRONO centre at QUB, especially Stephen Hoper, Jim McDonald, Michelle Thompson and Ron Reimer, all of whom took a keen interest in the *FRAGSUS Project*. The success of the *FRAGSUS Project* in general and the radiocarbon dating exercise has depended on their work. We thank the Physical Geography Laboratory staff at the School of Geography, University College Dublin, for the use of their ITRAX XRF core scanner. In particular, we would like to thank Dr Steve McCarron, Department of Geography, National University of Ireland, Maynooth and Dr Jonathan Turner, Department of Geography, National University of Ireland, University College, Dublin. We thank Prof. Patrick Schembri for sourcing and collecting the *Acanthocardia* samples from the Natural Museum of Natural History. Sean Pyne O'Donnell thanks Dr Chris Hayward at the Tephrochronology Analytical Unit (TAU), University of Edinburgh, for help and advice during microprobe work. Dr Maxine Anastasi, Department of Classics and Archaeology, University of Malta, helped identify the pottery from the settlement cores. Dr Frank Carroll helped show us the way forward; but sadly is no longer with us. Chris Hunt, Rory Flood, Michell Farrell, Sean Pyne O'Donnell and Mevrick Spiteri were the coring team.

They were helped by Vincent Van Walt, who provided technical assistance. Al Ruffell and John Meneely did geophysical evaluation and GRP location of the cores. During fieldwork, Tim Kinnaird and Charles French were assisted by Sean Taylor, Jeremy Bennett and Simon Stoddart. We are grateful to the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage, Malta and Heritage Malta for permission to undertake the analyses and much practical assistance.

For Chapter 5, we would like to thank all at Heritage Malta, the Ġgantija visitor's centre and the University of Malta for their friendly and useful assistance throughout. In particular, we would like to thank George Azzopardi, Daphne Caruana, Josef Caruana, Nathaniel Cutajar, Chris Gemmell, Reuben Grima, Joanne Mallia, Christian Mifsud, Anthony Pace, Ella Samut-Tagliaferro, Mevrick Spiteri, Katya Stroud, Sharon Sultana and Nick Vella. We also thank Tonko Rajkovača of the McBurney Laboratory, Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge, for making the thin section slides, the Physical Geography Laboratory, Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, and the ALS Global laboratory in Seville, Spain, for processing the multi-element analyses.

For Chapter 6, Reuben Grima wrote the first draft of this contribution, receiving comments and additions from the other authors.

For Chapter 7, Simon Stoddart wrote the first draft of this contribution, receiving comments and additions from the other authors.

For Chapter 9, we thank Sharlo Camilleri for providing us with a copy of the GIS data produced by the MALSIS (MALtese Soil Information System) project. We are grateful to Prof. Saviour Formosa and Prof. Timmy Gambin, both of the University of Malta, who facilitated the donation of LiDAR data, together with computer facilities, as part of the European project ERDF156 *Developing National Environmental Monitoring Infrastructure and Capacity*, from the former Malta

Environment and Planning Authority. A number of individuals were happy to share their recollections of shepherding practices in Malta and Gozo over the last sixty or seventy years; others facilitated the encounters. We are grateful to all of them: Charles Gauci, Grezzju Meilaq, Joseph Micallef, Louis Muscat, Ċettina and Anglu Vella, Ernest Vella and Renata Zerafa.

Simon Stoddart would like to thank Prof. Martin Jones and Rachel Ballantyne for their advice in constructing Figure 11.4. The editors would like to thank Emma Hannah for compiling the index.

Firstly, the FRAGSUS Project is the result of a very generous research grant from the European Research Council (Advanced Grant no' 323727), without which this and its two partner volumes and the research undertaken could not have taken place. We heartily thank the ERC for its award and the many administrators in Brussels who monitored our use of

the grant. The research team also wants to record our indebtedness to the administrators of the grant within our own institutions, since this work required detailed and dedicated attention. In particular we thank Rory Jordan in the Research Support Office, Stephen Hoper and Jim McDonald – CHRONO lab, and Martin Stroud (Queen's University Belfast), Laura Cousens (Cambridge University), Glen Farrugia and Cora Magri (University of Malta), the Curatorial, Finance and Designs & Exhibitions Departments in Heritage Malta and Stephen Borg at the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage. Finally, we thank Fr. Joe Inguanez (Emeritus Head of Department, Department of Sociology, University of Malta) for offering us the *leitmotif* of this volume while a visiting scholar in Magdalene College, Cambridge: '*Mingħajr art u ħamrija, m'hemmx sinjorija*' translating as 'without land and soil, there is no wealth'.

Foreword

Anthony Pace

Sustainability, as applied in archaeological research and heritage management, provides a useful perspective for understanding the past as well as the modern conditions of archaeological sites themselves. As often happens in archaeological thought, the idea of sustainability was borrowed from other areas of concern, particularly from the modern construct of development and its bearing on the environment and resource exploitation. The term sustainability entered common usage as a result of the unstoppable surge in resource exploitation, economic development, demographic growth and the human impacts on the environment that has gripped the World since 1500. Irrespective of scale and technology, most human activity of an economic nature has not spared resources from impacts, transformations or loss irrespective of historical and geographic contexts. Theories of sustainability may provide new narratives on the archaeology of Malta and Gozo, but they are equally important and of central relevance to contemporary issues of cultural heritage conservation and care. Though the archaeological resources of the Maltese islands can throw light on the past, one has to recognize that such resources are limited, finite and non-renewable. The sense of urgency with which these resources have to be identified, listed, studied, archived and valued is akin to that same urgency with which objects of value and all fragile forms of natural and cultural resources require constant stewardship and protection. The idea of sustainability therefore, follows a common thread across millennia.

It is all the more reason why cultural resource management requires particular attention through research, valorization and protection. The *FRAGSUS Project* (Fragility and sustainability in small island environments: adaptation, cultural change and collapse in prehistory) was intended to further explore and enhance existing knowledge on the prehistory of Malta and Gozo. The objective of the project as

designed by the participating institutional partners and scholars, was to explore untapped field resources and archived archaeological material from a number of sites and their landscape to answer questions that could be approached with new techniques and methods. The results of the *FRAGSUS Project* will serve to advance our knowledge of certain areas of Maltese prehistory and to better contextualize the archipelago's importance as a model for understanding island archaeology in the central Mediterranean. The work that has been invested in *FRAGSUS* lays the foundation for future research.

Malta and Gozo are among the Mediterranean islands whose prehistoric archaeology has been intensely studied over a number of decades. This factor is important, yet more needs to be done in the field of Maltese archaeology and its valorization. Research is not the preserve of academic specialists. It serves to enhance not only what we know about the Maltese islands, but more importantly, why the archipelago's cultural landscape and its contents deserve care and protection especially at a time of extensive construction development. Strict rules and guidelines established by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage have meant that during the last two decades more archaeological sites and deposits have been protected in situ or rescue-excavated through a statutory watching regime. This supervision has been applied successfully in a wide range of sites located in urban areas, rural locations and the landscape, as well as at the World Heritage Sites of Valletta, Ġgantija, Haġar Qim and Mnajdra and Tarxien. This activity has been instrumental in understanding ancient and historical land use, and the making of the Maltese historic centres and landscape.

Though the cumulative effect of archaeological research is being felt more strongly, new areas of interest still need to be addressed. Most pressing are those areas of landscape studies which often become

peripheral to the attention that is garnered by prominent megalithic monuments. *FRAGSUS* has once again confirmed that there is a great deal of value in studying field systems, terraces and geological settings which, after all, were the material media in which modern Malta and Gozo ultimately developed. There is, therefore, an interplay in the use of the term sustainability, an interplay between what we can learn from the way ancient communities tested and used the very same island landscape which we occupy today, and the manner in which this landscape is treated in contested economic realities. If we are to seek factors of sustainability in the past, we must first protect its relics and study them using the best available methods in our times. On the other hand, the study of the past using the materiality of ancient peoples requires strong research agendas and thoughtful stewardship. The *FRAGSUS Project* has shown us how even small fragile deposits, nursed through protective legislation and guardianship, can yield significant information which the methods of pioneering scholars of Maltese archaeology would not have enabled access to. As already outlined by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage, a national research agenda for cultural heritage and the humanities is a desideratum. Such a framework, reflected in the institutional partnership of the

FRAGSUS Project, will bear valuable results that will only advance Malta's interests especially in today's world of instant e-knowledge that was not available on such a global scale a mere two decades ago.

FRAGSUS also underlines the relevance of studying the achievements and predicaments of past societies to understand certain, though not all, aspects of present environmental challenges. The twentieth century saw unprecedented environmental changes as a result of modern political-economic constructs. Admittedly, twentieth century developments cannot be equated with those of antiquity in terms of demography, technology, food production and consumption or the use of natural resources including the uptake of land. However, there are certain aspects, such as climate change, changing sea levels, significant environmental degradation, soil erosion, the exploitation and abandonment of land resources, the building and maintenance of field terraces, the rate and scale of human demographic growth, movement of peoples, access to scarce resources, which to a certain extent reflect impacts that seem to recur in time, irrespectively of scale and historic context.

Anthony Pace
Superintendent of Cultural Heritage (2003–18).

Introduction

Caroline Malone, Simon Stoddart, Chris O. Hunt,
Charles French, Rowan McLaughlin & Reuben Grima

0.1. Introduction

The *FRAGSUS Project* (*Fragility and sustainability in small island environments: adaptation, cultural change and collapse in prehistory*) was devised to explore issues of prehistoric island sustainability set against the background of environmental change and instability. Particular foci were the fragility and sustainability of society and environment in the Maltese Islands (Fig. 0.1), primarily during the Neolithic period of the sixth to third millennia BC. Specifically, the research team aimed to understand and explain the nature of the impact of expanding human populations on a restricted, resource-limited and fragile environment such as the Maltese Islands. Our goal was to advance knowledge of the mechanisms and innovations (cultural, technological and political) that traditional (prehistoric) farming societies developed in order to cope with changing resource availability and environmental unpredictability. We sought to understand how some societies managed population impact and sustained their socio-economic system and culture over long periods of time through examining the evidence preserved in the archaeological and palaeoenvironmental records.

Island studies have long interested archaeologists and ecologists. An island represents a conveniently circumscribed landscape of known size, surrounded by water, and thus remote from larger landmasses and their biological and cultural stimuli. They are sometimes taken as a microcosm of the situation of the human species in a severely circumscribed and overcrowded planet. From the seminal ecological studies of Charles Darwin (Jones 2009) and Alfred Wallace (1892) in the nineteenth century, to the rich theoretical literature on biogeography and equilibrium theory in islands first initiated by MacArthur and Wilson (1963, 1967), an entire sub-discipline of island studies has developed. The studies range from Simberloff's

equilibrium theory (1974), the ecology models of Gorman (1979), ecological anthropology (Vayda & Rappaport 1968) to current ideas of evolution and equilibrium (Lomolino *et al.* 2010) and colonization (Cox *et al.* 2016). Generally, the bulk of research has been focused on non-human subjects, with issues of extinctions and conservation foremost, but nevertheless, a number of important theories and models from these island studies are relevant to archaeology.

FRAGSUS has sought to examine the particular impact made by humans on the natural environment and resources available in a prehistoric island context. There have been a number of useful studies on island colonization patterns and case studies of the Mediterranean and the Caribbean in particular, that extract some key ideas from the ecological models and apply them to the anthropic context. Evans (1973a) was amongst the first to present the 'island' as the laboratory case study of an ancient society in the Mediterranean context. Cherry (1981, 1990) further demonstrated the more mathematical outcome of these ideas, and that work has generated a succession of useful, relevant studies some of which specifically focus on Malta (Broodbank 2013; Dawson 2014; Kirch 1986; Kolb 2005; Malone 1997–8; Patton 1996; Rainbird 2007; Renfrew 1973; Stoddart 1997–8, 1999) that have worked to develop both the theory and to show the archaeological relevance of the application of the island ecology models to ancient island issues.

As time has progressed, increasingly detailed complementary information has been added, especially a more detailed and exact chronology. Now with fifty years or so of increasing numbers and resolution of radiocarbon dating estimations, the tempo of island colonization, consolidation and desertion can be more effectively presented and the archaeological record better understood. We can now present an understanding of chronology rather than speculate about when humans arrived on particular islands, and




Figure 0.1. Location map of the Maltese Islands in the southern Mediterranean Sea (J. Bennett).

when distinctive socio-cultural evidence appeared and disappeared in the sequence of social and environmental evolution. When Cherry (1981, 1990, 2004) was estimating island colonization patterns in the Mediterranean, far fewer uncalibrated radiocarbon dates were available. Whilst he and Patton (1996) identified that the sixth millennium BC witnessed the first major episodes of colonization associated with the spread of farming, there was little chance then to break the key sixth millennium BC into detailed episodes that might trace the dynamics of what was probably an extended and punctuated process. Dawson (2004–6, 2008, 2010, 2014) identified Malta and Gozo as an archipelago likely to have been colonized just once, on the basis of data available, and this was a notion largely supported

by Trump (1995–6), although he did speculate about possible breaks in the cultural sequence. Without detailed chronology, the often momentary episodes of cultural activity are impossible to pin down in a time sequence that may cover millennia with little apparent cultural change. Accurate time measurement is fundamental too in controlling and comprehending the relationships between human activity and environmental change and climate fluctuation.

The growing field of palaeoecology, combined with increased knowledge of past climates and catastrophic events, has also added to the need for chronological precision that can correspond with human timescales. Current studies using precise proxies, such as tree-ring dating, demonstrate distinct

events in the past which are clearly signalled by a number of data that demonstrate downturns, climaxes, catastrophes (Baillie 1999) and also, the impact of humans on the natural environment (Butzer 1982; Goudie 1993).

Islands make excellent subjects against which to test these many ideas and technical approaches, especially when a combination of factors are clearly identified, such as:

- a reasonably isolated island of small size (i.e. less than 500 sq. km).
- a distinctive and dated archaeological sequence of human activity and material culture that changes over time.
- potential for extracting suitable environmental data such as pollen, molluscs-invertebrates, soil history, human and faunal remains with the potential to demonstrate dietary and climatic isotopic information.

Such data provide a solid base upon which to explore past human impact on the natural environment, and to identify how humans managed to cope when the resources of the natural world began to fail their needs. *FRAGSUS* was designed to explore and record a long human sequence that could challenge established theories and interpretations, and the outcome is recorded in the three *FRAGSUS* volumes, of which this is the first.

0.2. Background to *FRAGSUS* as an archaeological project

The richness of Maltese prehistoric archaeology has attracted a range of key figures who have explored its unusual qualities. Before the twentieth century, Maltese archaeology was a curiosity noted by travellers and administrators, but the study lacked much coherent scholarship. It was only from the first decade of the twentieth century that the outstanding partnership of Themistocles Zammit (an established scientist and archaeologist) and Thomas Ashby (a stratigraphic excavator whose skills were honed on the Roman monuments of Caerwent in Wales), projected the riches of prehistoric Malta onto the world stage. This followed the detailed published study of the German scholar Albert Mayr (Mayr 1908), who likewise had recognized the extraordinary prehistory of the Maltese Temple culture. The contributions of Ashby to knowledge of sites including Santa Verna, Kordin, Mnajdra and Ħaġar Qim (Ashby *et al.* 1913) and Zammit (1930), independently and more dramatically to the discovery of Tarxien, collectively demonstrated the creativity of the inhabitants of the Maltese Islands from an antiquity

that had not previously been accepted. Zammit also developed a powerful understanding of the structure and diversity of the elaborate material culture within the impressive monuments he examined. Above all, he realized the importance of reconstructions *in situ* coupled with rapid publication and dissemination of information in written and museological form. A less well-known figure, until recently, is Luigi Maria Ugolini, who was one of the first scholars to appreciate the socio-cultural significance of these discoveries, stressing their importance over and above the classically inspired wisdom of the time. His work (Ugolini 1934) was amongst the first to interpret the discoveries in terms of the living people who created the monuments. As a synthesis, it is probably fair to state that these pioneers developed a broad understanding of the major monuments, most notably of the so-called temples, together with the underground burial chambers of Ħal Saflieni, but ignored other potential questions (principally those concerned with landscapes, environment and subsistence) that remained under-investigated.

After World War II, two archaeologists, John Evans and David Trump, built on the achievements of these pioneers. John Evans, like many significant figures of his generation, honed his forensic skills at Bletchley Park (the World War II code breaking centre for British Intelligence in Buckinghamshire, England) and applied them to the early Mediterranean through his training in Archaeology and Anthropology at Cambridge. In 1952, he was appointed by a committee led by the Royal University of Malta to systematize the unpublished and outstanding discoveries of the pioneers in a survey of the state of knowledge of the archaeology of the Maltese Islands. He combined this systematization with a series of surgical investigations of key monuments to attempt a chronological resolution to the rich material culture. Evans focused on sites where stratigraphy was clearly preserved by the sealed packed limestone plaster (*torba*) floors, and where uncontroversial ceramic sequence could be extracted (see Volume 2, Chapter 10). In the tradition of Zammit, he also developed an early synthesis of these results (Evans 1953, 1956, 1959), even if publication of the full dataset took time (Evans 1971), a delay which allowed the inclusion of new and significant information. At the same time, he followed the footsteps of Ugolini by developing an interpretative framework, albeit in a rather different direction and tradition; he both posited the ideas of island archaeology (Evans 1973a, 1977) and considered the role of the priest in some of the monuments that he was synthesizing (Evans 1973b).

David Trump accompanied Evans on his exploratory fieldwork and, following his appointment as Curator of the Museum of Archaeology in Valletta in

1958, developed the subtleties in the prehistoric timetable much further. Most significantly, he excavated the site of Skorba Temple, uncovering new phases in the Maltese sequence and providing the radiocarbon dates to accompany this material (Trump 1961a, 1966). The chronology was no longer relative, but increasingly precise, even if based on remarkably few samples. Trump was also instrumental, with Charles Zammit, the museum director and the son of Themistocles, in displaying these achievements in the newly established National Museum of Archaeology in the centre of Valletta. In fact, David Trump developed a natural, albeit idiosyncratic, talent and strong following in the popularization of the importance of the Maltese Islands in prehistory (Trump 1972, 2002, 2010).

Two further figures (including one of the current authors) helped to steer us to developing the *FRAGSUS Project*. Colin Renfrew (1973) advanced the implications of the new radiocarbon dates largely produced by David Trump, but supplemented by himself, by highlighting the broader setting of calibration, and thus firmly establishing the claim that these monuments were the oldest free-standing stone monuments in the world. At the same time, he took their theoretical understanding further forward by putting forward theories of societies and their territories in an island setting, and how they might have developed over time (Renfrew 1973; Renfrew & Level 1979). Anthony Bonanno, the founding and long-standing head of the Department of Classics and Archaeology at the University of Malta, made his own very real contribution to the debate by synthesizing the available information (Bonanno 1986a) and by jointly proposing an Anglo-Maltese collaboration during a seminal conference that he organized in 1985 (Bonanno 1986b).

The collaboration that followed (1987–95) between the Universities of Malta and Cambridge and the then Museums Department took stock of the current state of knowledge based on Anthony Bonanno's 1986 synthesis. It was clear that study of the Neolithic 'temples', mainly on the island of Malta, had dominated previous research. Questions of death, domestic life, economy, the human and physical landscape had been under-researched. The Cambridge Gozo project under the direction of Anthony Bonanno, Tancred Gouder, David Trump, Caroline Malone and Simon Stoddart sought to investigate these remaining gaps. A single phase Temple Period settlement structure at Ghajnsielem on Gozo was investigated in the first 1987 season (Malone *et al.* 1988, 2009a), the Xaghra Brochtorff Circle (sometimes referred to as a hypogeum) over seven field seasons (1987–94), and a field survey was started at the same time in those moments when the great investment of work on the Xaghra Brochtorff

Circle permitted (1987–95). The most successful feature of the project was a deeper understanding of death ritual (Malone *et al.* 2009a). Some major strides were made in the survey towards appreciating the principal changes in settlement distribution between the ceramic phases of Ghar Dalam to Bahrija in the central part of Gozo through a systematic landscape survey (Malone *et al.* 2009a; Boyle 2013; see Chapters 2 & 7). Some new information was gleaned on domestic life, and several likely settlement sites located, although the excavated Ghajnsielem Road structure was largely devoid of informative refuse (Malone *et al.* 1988, 2009a). Very few advances were made in understanding the changes in the physical and natural landscape although several specialist scholars sought to identify means to extract knowledge and data (notably Hunt, Keeley, Canti and Schembri). The most successful work came indirectly from the study of land snails from the Xaghra Brochtorff Circle (Schembri 1995; Schembri *et al.* 2009). The scientific goals of the Cambridge Gozo Project (1987–94) were significant on a number of fronts. In particular, it achieved knowledge of prehistoric funerary ritual and an initial study of the prehistoric population. Inadequate funding meant that the osteological study was preliminary. Nevertheless, that work revolutionized approaches to the bioanthropology of the prehistoric population. It represented only the second discovery of a Temple Period funerary complex (rather than individual tombs) in Malta and the only example of a fully recorded burial assemblage. Chronological progress included a suite of accelerator mass spectrometer (or AMS) radiocarbon dates on human bone, fixing the episodes of burial for the first time, the study of molluscan evidence for environmental reconstruction, the identification of hard stone sources from outside Malta, and preliminary work on diet and the prehistoric exploitation of domestic animals (Malone *et al.* 2009a & b).

This archaeological work between 1986 and 2009 identified a number of directions for future research. There was a specific need for more scientific analysis of the human remains, for better understanding of diet, disease and changing life patterns, more precise AMS radiocarbon dating, and for application of (the then) new and promising aDNA methods on the enormous assemblage of human bones (c. 220,000 individual parts). In conjunction with excavated materials, we also suggested that sediment and environmental research might interrogate the question of climatic downturns that might address an overriding question, 'why did the Temple Culture collapse and disappear'? Central to this question is a current concern – the resilience and sustainability of human and natural systems, even though, with the luxury of historical hindsight, it is

evident that almost all cultural system fail eventually, or evolve into new formations. Malta provided an ideal test case to examine how a prehistoric community over time dealt with many constraints of space, resource depletion, and climate change. We hoped that climate and environmental changes in the local environment of Malta might be detectable, with the potential to inform on the archaeological social changes we identify in the human sequence. Rarely is this type of combined approach taken with small-scale prehistoric cultures, but surely an interdisciplinary approach has the potential to tell us far more about our human story and the manner by which humans cope than simply studying cultural materials?

0.3. Environmental research in Malta and the Mediterranean

Research on Quaternary environmental change has its roots amongst the earliest manifestations of the scientific investigations of the world by Classical and Enlightenment authors, many working in the countries around the Mediterranean. In the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, however, advances were most rapid in the countries of Northern and Alpine Europe, where the very abundant glacial features and deposits had clear analogues in contemporary glaciated landscapes, attesting to the former extent of great ice-sheets. Further, technically straightforward investigations could be, and were, conducted on post-glacial materials such as bog peats and lake muds which contained easily recognisable sub-fossil plant and animal remains. During the earlier twentieth century, Quaternary sciences advanced rapidly in Northern Europe through investigation of previously glaciated landscapes and post-glacial sediments. Consequently, understanding of climate change and the role of humans in landscape change advanced considerably with the development of pollen analysis and a suite of other scientific analytical techniques (i.e. Hunt 2001; Brothwell & Pollard 2005; Sanderson & Murphy 2010; French 2015).

In contrast, investigation of the Quaternary history of the non-glaciated European countries, and particularly those adjacent to the Mediterranean, was initially far less straightforward, since these generally lacked glacial features, bogs and lake basins. The techniques such as pollen analysis, which had been developed for the bogs of northern Europe, were not easily applied to the often highly oxidized deposits available in southern Europe. Thus, although pollen analysis was widely used in northern European countries, its appearance in southern Europe was delayed to the late 1950s. In southern European countries, much early attention was focussed on the relatively

abundant and often spectacular archaeology of early humans and particularly on cave deposits, many of which contained archaeological and faunal remains. Consequently, ideas about climate change and human impact on landscapes remained very sketchy and were often extrapolated from models developed north of the Alps (Bowen 1978).

Although several individual researchers, notably Karl Butzer (Butzer 1960; Butzer & Cuerda 1962), Enrico Bonatti (1966) and Thomas van der Hammen *et al.* (1965) had carried out high-quality localized investigations, the first comprehensive attempt to investigate Quaternary environments in the countries of southern Europe and around the Mediterranean was '*Mediterranean Valleys: Geological Changes in Historical Times*' by Claudio Vita-Finzi (1969). This seminal publication provided the impetus for the wide-scale investigation of Quaternary landscapes in many Mediterranean countries, notably the work of Donald Davidson (1971, 1980), John Bintliff (1977) and Tjeerd van Andel (Van Andel & Runnels 1987) in Greece, and a group of English researchers, including David Gilbertson, Chris O. Hunt, Caroline Malone, Simon Stoddart, Antony Brown and Graeme Barker in Italy (Hunt *et al.* 1992; Malone & Stoddart 1994; Barker 1995, 1996; Brown & Ellis 1995; Hunt 1998), Libya (Barker *et al.* 1996), Jordan (Barker *et al.* 2007) and the wider Mediterranean (e.g. papers in Woodward *et al.* 1995). Most of this work dealt with the landscapes and deposits of river valleys, and collectively it demonstrated that landscapes around the Mediterranean were extremely sensitive both to climate change and to human activity. The research confirmed the early observations of Butzer and Cuerda (1962) and Bonatti (1966), of generally arid and cold Pleistocene episodes correlating broadly with episodes of glacial expansion in Northern Europe interspersed with relatively humid temperate phases which coincided with Northern European periods of glacier recession. This and later research identified in the Mediterranean countries a general pattern of aridification after the Early Holocene humid phase, with increasing environmental human impact through grazing and arable agricultural vegetation clearance and consequent soil erosion.

The Cambridge Gozo Project, led in the field by Caroline Malone, Simon Stoddart and David Trump, offered the opportunity to extend landscape research into the Maltese Islands which updated the work of Trechmann (1938) and Pedley *et al.* (1990). Hunt (1997) showed that Trechmann's (1938) synthesis of the Quaternary deposits of Malta had been far in advance of its time, but the supposed interglacial marginal marine deposits identified by Pedley *et al.* (1990) were in fact terrestrial colluvial cold-stage sediments. It was

apparent from this survey that terrestrial evidence for Quaternary landscape change was sparse and discontinuous in the extreme. On the other hand, it also became apparent that the estuaries of the Maltese Islands contained substantial sediment bodies. Reconnaissance coring of a few of these estuarine sediment bodies proved deep sequences of well-preserved, if discontinuous, Holocene sediments of Neolithic and later age containing abundant sedimentary and fossil evidence for past environments (Carroll *et al.* 2012). A key component of the *FRAGSUS Project* was therefore to extend this approach, to generate a continuous landscape and climatic history by systematically exploring the estuarine sediment bodies and their contained sedimentary and biotic evidence.

Shortcomings to all previous work on the prehistory of Malta (and indeed, of much of the southern Mediterranean) have been the lack of coordinated scientific fieldwork and data collection. That coupled with insufficient resources for intensive fieldwork and scientific analysis have resulted in research content simply to identify sites and pottery without background on the 'landscape' and 'monuments'. Too rarely had soil and environment been considered as an integral archaeological component of the record, other than in the general sense of a covering over buried sites. Indeed, never had a soil history been undertaken of Malta that investigated the changing nature of soil over time as it related to the human story. Geographers had undertaken some excellent work in preparation for Independence (Bowen-Jones *et al.* 1961) and observed a much more accessible and visible landscape than is possible today. That work, however, was not aimed at environmental reconstruction and such work was not attempted until the Cambridge Gozo Project from 1987–95. The survey (Boyle 2013; see Chapters 6 & 7) aimed to map the established soil distribution in relation to site location, but the harsh alkaline cave-like environment of the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle funerary site was such that pollen was not preserved, and by definition it was located some distance from the living sites, where pollen data would be most informative. The environmental questions, nevertheless, remained and one of the team from the original Xagħra work (C.O. Hunt) continued in the quest to obtain suitable environmental material over the two decades before *FRAGSUS* to obtain meaningful pollen samples (Hunt 1997, 2015; Hunt & Schembri 1999; see Chapter 3). The initial history of vegetation change was indicative (Carroll *et al.* 2012; Fenech 2007; Hunt & Schembri 1999), but inconclusive, with substantial gaps in the sequence for Malta at crucial times in later prehistory. Likewise, other environmental work had not investigated animal bone and the settlement and economy

of prehistoric Malta at a reliable level. The rise and florescence of the extraordinary Temple Culture could not be explained in socio-economic terms, so prediction of population levels and density in prehistory was speculative. In tandem, it was not possible to measure changes in socio-economic conditions over prehistory without better data and a more extensive chronology. Without a deeper understanding of the environmental or economic base, the Neolithic World Heritage Sites were 'mysterious' and liable to excessive interpretation based more on a fantasy goddess culture than on archaeological facts. Thus there was a solid case for new research which the European Research Council (ERC) assessors generously recognized.

0.4. The development of the *FRAGSUS Project* and its questions

In the years immediately following the 2009 publication of the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle (Malone *et al.* 2009a), while other fieldwork was developed elsewhere in the Mediterranean by the team, the various issues became a discussion point for some of us (especially Malone and Hunt in the Palaeocology Laboratory of Queen's University Belfast, and others in the Universities of Malta and Cambridge, and the newly established bodies of Heritage Malta and the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage), to develop a project that was resolutely designed to solve the many remaining questions, and extend scientifically informative research activity in Malta as well as on the smaller island of Gozo. The precious resources of pollen, soils and sediment thus became central foci for the new project together with investigation of subsistence, food and domestic life in prehistoric times. With the rich resource of the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle population ready for additional scientific analysis to contribute to such studies, the notion of an explicitly interdisciplinary approach was readily embraced by the extended team. It was also realized that the chronology of the Maltese Islands, although established in its broad outlines, was based on too few dating samples, and too much on pottery sequences. If the understanding of the tempo of island life from prehistory to more recent times was to be better established, it was considered vital to invest heavily in cutting edge chronometric techniques that included Optically Stimulated Luminescence (or OSL) as well as Accelerated Mass Spectrometry (or AMS) radiocarbon dating. Without a core of chronological control across all aspects of the research, we realized that the precision needed to understand human and environmental change in general and in the microcosm of Malta in particular would be all but pointless.

FRAGSUS specifically set out to tackle a number of interdisciplinary questions (as presented to the ERC for the grant aid that supported the project). These questions centred around a central query that demanded better understanding of the chronology, environment and its changes and potential for exploitation over several millennia. We were particularly interested to learn whether there had been continuity of cultural activity on the islands over the Neolithic Temple Period and into the Early Bronze Age. Obviously, only a major, very well-funded project could apply the necessary modern levels of interdisciplinary scientific analysis to test the questions, and potentially make an advance in understanding. A period of collaborative discussion in 2011–12 led to the application, headed by Malone, for funding from the European Research Council (ERC). *FRAGSUS* as a project was developed around the five principal questions (see §0.5) and the application of new and well tested approaches which we described as follows:

We bring a suite of specialised modern palaeoecological, environmental, landscape, anthropological, archaeological and chronological approaches – practical and analytical – to examine the issues of long-term cultural sustainability in this fragile environment. We will sample sediments, sites, material culture, human remains and landscapes to study interlinked elements which may collectively provide understanding of how a culture developed, was maintained and collapsed. Detailed chronology will be developed using state of the art AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometer) radiocarbon, tephra and cultural dating to provide vital control over the data, enabling realistic understanding of cultural and environmental change. We have pilot tested these approaches in previous fieldwork and will apply them to this key case study. As a central focus, eight pollen cores will be drilled, dated and studied for pollen, invertebrate and other fossils proxies to reconstruct the dynamic ancient environment in the context of the human landscape provided by the archaeological evidence of settlement and human remains.

The application was submitted in April 2012. The multiple project questions were designed to provoke interdisciplinary approaches that employed original fieldwork, fresh data collection, various analyses and a range of new scientific approaches. Some questions were simple, supported by the outcomes of previous study, and they had superficially obvious answers, but mostly, simple questions tackled through new,

demanding and precise methodology have invariably opened doors into new theoretical territory. It was the intention of the project to explore and tackle the unknown, to take risks and move the fields of study forward, and the works undertaken very much reflect these aspirations. As the reviewers of the ERC grant application commented, the aspirations were admirable, but although many might not fully succeed, the journey was worthwhile in itself. It was thus that the team set out in May 2013 to start a demanding five-year programme of research into past climate, landscapes, people and their cultures, to explore what enabled or hindered human sustainability in small islands in the past. Our focus was the Maltese Islands, selected partly for our previous knowledge, but also because the size and scale presented opportunities to examine the ‘rise and fall’ of a distinct civilization. Other parts of the Mediterranean or Aegean might have proven harder to distinguish such distinctiveness, but Malta with its remarkable Temple Culture presented the greatest potential to test our questions. Malta too, was chosen because of the long-standing collaborative relationship extending over decades, and the shared sense of affinity with a remarkable ancient people whose world we had already begun to observe at ever closer quarters since the late 1980s.

The *FRAGSUS Project* attempts to address the many issues identified here in the broader framework of resilience theory within a restricted island community. The concept of resilience may be considered narrowly as the return rate to equilibrium or stasis after a disruptive event, and also infers a capacity to persist and resist change (Folke 2019). In particular, it is a way of understanding the capacity of ecosystems to absorb and retain their current state, and recover to a state of equilibrium following disturbance, as well as how human actions may contribute to coping strategies or a loss of adaptability (Holling 1973; Gunderson 2000; Walker *et al.* 2004; Desjardins *et al.* 2015). It is a dynamic concept focusing on how to evolve and persist with change, with adaptability and preparedness being key (Folke *et al.* 2010). Importantly, social and ecological systems should be envisaged as intertwined (Folke 2006, 2019), and contemporary environmental change may only be fully understood with contributions from archaeological and palaeoenvironmental research over the *longue durée* (van der Leeuw & Redman 2002; Davis 2019).

FRAGSUS necessarily draws on the archaeological work of our predecessors, principally Zammit, Ugolini, Ashby, Evans, Trump and Renfrew, who had in their various ways laid the foundations for this continuing study. The project was born partly from this rich background, coupled with issues arising from

our previous archaeological fieldwork undertaken between 1987 and 1995 (The Cambridge Gozo Project and excavations at the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle), and the complementary studies in environmental change (Carroll *et al.* 2012; Fenech 2007; Hunt & Schembri 1999; Schembri *et al.* 2009). There were many questions that still remained to be addressed, and new studies demanded the investment of modern scientific infrastructure and expensive specialist analyses. *FRAGSUS* could never have been achieved without the availability of substantial funds from the European Research Council, provided through the award of the Advanced Researchers Grant No. 323727. We are very grateful for the support provided and trust that this and its partner volumes provide a suitable acknowledgement.

The original project team comprised comprising nineteen scholars spread between Britain and Malta, and initially from Queen's University Belfast, the University of Cambridge, the University of Malta, Heritage Malta and the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage. Later some colleagues moved to the Universities of Plymouth and Liverpool John Moores, whilst further collaboration with colleagues at University College, Maynooth and University College and Trinity College, Dublin, and SUERC (University of Glasgow) also joined the team. In addition, new research staff attached to the main partner institutions were engaged to undertake specific sub-programmes of specialist work, and over the years a number of post-graduate students also joined the project to undertake PhD and Masters dissertations.

0.5. Archaeological concerns in Maltese prehistory and the *FRAGSUS* Project

The project was devised to tackle the outstanding general questions and issues noted above, through five specific questions that addressed the central question about what occurred at the end of the Maltese Temple Culture. The interlinked questions centred on the fragility and resilience of island life were developed. As so often in such an intensive project, not only have many questions been addressed and partly answered, but also, the answers raise new questions for future researchers to tackle.

A central feature of the project design was to bring multiple techniques together to address the same questions from a variety of angles, thus strengthening the validity of many of the conclusions.

The seven important environmental/socio-cultural questions have been addressed by this project, and are considered in this volume and Volumes 2 (Malone *et al.* in press) and 3 (Stoddart *et al.* in press):

1. *What was the impact of human settlement on Malta from Neolithic times onwards, and how rapid was the process of deforestation, erosion and degradation? When did technical mechanisms to manage the environment develop – such as terracing, water and food storage? Were such mechanisms in place before or after the Temple Culture collapsed?*

Enormous advances have been made by the interlinking of well dated series of environmental samples taken both from seven new deep cored pollen locations (with a corresponding sevenfold increase in catchment), numerous valley profiles (this volume) and six new AMS dated archaeological stratigraphies (Volume 2). As this and the other *FRAGSUS* monographs will show, a highly complex and fragile turbiditic landscape has been uncovered. Questions about the introduction of terracing, control of water and development of food storage have been less effectively addressed and remain issues to be solved by the next generation of archaeologists.

2. *How did a very small island community in prehistoric times manage to sustain dense, complex life over millennia, and what specific social, economic and ritual controls emerged to enable this? Were the monumental temples instrumental in this process of sustaining cultural life?*

For the answers to these questions, we necessarily draw on the information provided by Zammit, Evans and Trump, as scholars who investigated the temples when they were better preserved. However, the *FRAGSUS* Project has supplemented this work by the investigation of sequences from four Neolithic temple sites at Ggantija, Santa Verna, Skorba and Kordin III. In addition, the evidence from the settlement area of Taċ-Ċawla, even if a complex multi-period site, has permitted the elucidation of some of the essential differences between the communal activities of the temples and smaller scale activities of domestic living sites. These results form the basis of Volume 2.

3. *What sort of agriculture was used, and what did people eat, especially as the landscape became increasingly degraded and the environment more unpredictable? Were there failures in the food supply?*

A two-pronged approach has been successfully delivered, drawing on both the refuse of the living and the remains of the dead. The settlement site of Taċ-Ċawla has delivered an unprecedented series of refuse samples that give a measured development of the food resources (carbonized seed and bone) from the Maltese Islands which can be compared with the evidence from the bodily remains of their near neighbours and contemporaries

interred in the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle. The other sites have also yielded evidence of plant and animal refuse that further indicate evidence for exceptional foods and more routine diets (see Volume 2). Palaeosols, pollen and fungal spore analysis have provided indications of past landscape and land-use changes as well as the intensity of arable agriculture and cereals in the landscape over time (see Chapters 2–5).

4. What was the size and nature of the early Maltese population and what role did demographic connectivity (immigration) play in maintaining island sustainability? What impact did diet, disease and stress have on the population?

By understanding the impact of environmental instability, disease and stress on the early people over the first millennia of occupation, we may be able to assess how they coped, and the mechanisms that may have been in place to control their population and scarce resources. Our insights into the changing demography of the Maltese Islands remain indirect but nevertheless, much better informed than in any previous study. The Cambridge Gozo survey (undertaken in the late 1980s and early 1990s, with summaries presented in Chapters 6 and 7 and in Volume 2, Chapter 1) has given some sense of the relative and changing density of a small sampled part of the landscape. The studies of agricultural development and pastoralism (see Chapters 3, 5, 8 & 9) add to this work, by providing important insight into the potential carrying capacity of the islands. The soil, pollen and molluscan analyses have recorded the impact of these populations on the islands at a date even earlier than the settlement record (see Chapters 3–5). Studies of aDNA, isotopes and the physical anthropology (see Volume 3) have demonstrated different degrees of understanding of the connectivity of humans with the outside world which can be measured against the imported non-organic materials such as stone axes, as well as the local crops, trees, animals and other resources. A deeper understanding of demography remains a substantial challenge, and one that future generations can continue to tackle.

5. Was there social-economic or environmental failure at the end of the Temple Culture in the later third millennium BC, and what may have caused society and its agricultural economy to change so drastically or possibly collapse? Was there a hiatus between the Temple Culture and later Bronze Age settlers?

A number of indicators have been combined to investigate the environmental-economic and human situation at the end of the Temple Period, including pollen, molluscan, soil and sedimentary data, human remains and

the distribution of radiocarbon dates. Collectively, these do support the evidence for important changes at about 2400–2300 BC, but similar analysis has also detected palaeoenvironmental and demographic changes in the fifth millennium BC, extending over a longer period (see Chapters 3, 6, 7 & 11 & Volumes 2 and 3). The definition and narrowing of these windows of change is one of the exciting results of the current work and stimulating prospects for further analysis by future generations of archaeologists.

6. What, if any, were the effects of long-term climate change on the agricultural resilience and sustainability of the Maltese Islands?

The suite of detailed of complementary pollen, molluscan, soils and sediment data with a robust, newly established chronology has suggested both long-term degradation of the landscape as well as variable imprints of agricultural use and intensification, and valley-by-valley sequences of fill related to catchment responses to human activities, erosion and changing climatic factors over the past 8000 years (see Chapters 2–5). Surprisingly, there has been a substantial degree of resilience in the Maltese landscapes over the longer term.

7. How does the Maltese sequence of palaeoenvironmental and cultural changes compare with the long-term records of environmental change and short-duration extreme events over the last 10,000 years observed elsewhere in the southern Mediterranean region, such as Sicily?

There appears to be a degree of synergy between the Maltese palaeoenvironmental records and other regions of the central and southern Mediterranean basin. Most notably the effects of aridification are evident in the palaeosol and vegetational sequences from the latter part of the Temple period (see Chapters 3–5). But there the detailed, chronological well-defined, studies of the valley deep cores (see Chapter 2) have greatly refined our ability to chart changing human-environment relationships in many parts of Malta and Gozo at a scale of resolution rarely attempted before, both temporally and spatially.

0.6. The research programme: the sites and their selection

The overall project focused on three forms of data collection:

- the environmental material buried in the landscape (this volume).

- the prehistoric sites and their buried deposit and material culture (Volume 2).
- the buried population of the Xagħra Brochtorff Circle and other prehistoric sites (Volume 3).

In addition, the data collected from the intensive landscape survey of Gozo between 1987 and 1995 were also reassessed through GIS and provided important supporting information for the three data groups above (see Chapter 7).

0.7. Investigating the palaeoenvironmental context

The *FRAGSUS Project* enabled an unrivalled opportunity to elucidate the Holocene palaeoenvironmental and archaeological history of the islands of Gozo and Malta. Particular emphasis was placed on the recognition of the impacts of the first Neolithic farmers and Temple Period builders, but also on the investigation of the imprint of human activities on the islands both before and after this unique period, and the impact of climate and environmental change on the human societies and how resilient the islands were to these changes.

Generally, strong monsoonal influence and Hadley circulation in the Early Holocene caused the southeastern and northwestern Mediterranean countries to be relatively humid, while the southwestern and northeastern countries were less humid (Weninger 2006; Magny *et al.* 2009, 2011; Carroll *et al.* 2012; Bini *et al.* 2018). As the Holocene progressed, the monsoonal influence declined and the southeastern and northwestern countries became less humid and the northeastern and southwestern countries became relatively more humid. Punctuating these trends were a series of short periods of climate disruption originating in the North Atlantic. These events at 9.3, 8.2, 6.5 and 4.3 ka BP (7350, 6250, 4550 and 2350 BC) seem to have impacted the countries around the Mediterranean with varying degrees of severity, and some appear to be synchronous with major episodes of societal disruption and population mobility (e.g. Weninger 2006). The trajectory of climate change in neighbouring lowland Sicily does not seem to have been affected severely by these events, although aridification seems to have started c. 3050 BC (Noti *et al.* 2009; Tinner *et al.* 2009), but Carroll *et al.* (2012) pointed out the coincidence between the dates associated with the end of the Temple period in Malta and the 4.3 ka BP (2350 BC) event, and demonstrated an interruption in cereal cultivation in the Salina Bay records at this time. Thus, the project aimed to provide new, chronologically robust palaeosol, palaeovegetational and palaeoclimatic data to compare with the archaeological record and with other long-term records of environmental change in the southern Mediterranean region (see Chapters 3–5).

Soil degradation and erosion in the islands of Malta and Gozo are regularly observed as a compelling and prevalent problem today and one that has its origins in earlier prehistoric times (Lang 1960; Blouet 1997; Vella 2003; Fenech 2007; Grima 2008b; Malone *et al.* 2009a). Moreover, these islands appear to share many of the soil characteristics and history of a continual struggle against aridification, dewatering and with the intensification of agriculture associated with the creation of extensive terraced landscapes, just as occurred in many other parts of the Mediterranean area (Brandt & Thornes 1996; Carroll *et al.* 2012; Sadori *et al.* 2013). As a corollary, the development of the typical red Mediterranean soils or *terra rossa* on limestone substrates of this region (Bridges 1978; Lang 1960; Yaalon 1997; Van Andel *et al.* 1990) were also be investigated.

It has always been assumed that the seasonally dry and hot Mediterranean climate made the Maltese landscape quite marginal in agricultural terms (Schembri 1997). As a consequence, it has sometimes been presumed that terracing was adopted extensively from prehistoric times in Malta and Gozo to conserve soils and moisture, and create a better landscape for subsistence based agriculture (Rolé 2007). Like many other parts of the Mediterranean, this landscape is thought to have been prone to deforestation, drought and soil erosion, combined with intensive human activity, and that this has been the case since Neolithic times (Brandt & Thornes 1996; Bevan & Conolly 2013; Grima 2008a & b; Grove & Rackham 2003; Hughes 2011; Djamali *et al.* 2013). This project explored the evidence for the subsistence practices of these early people, and it examined how they managed to develop a sufficiently productive economy to support and sustain temple building in the late fifth to mid-third millennia BC. The latest techniques of dating, geoarchaeology, palaeo-economy, remote sensing, survey and sampling were employed to push the boundaries of knowledge towards understanding this problem. By integrating the archaeological and landscape evidence with environmental reconstruction, chronology and population history, we should be able to connect the disparate bodies of evidence, and to propose models that explain the long-term impact of population and settlement on the Maltese Islands. Moreover, the models should have a wider application to other times and places, given the cyclical nature of human behaviour. This volume begins that process of modelling and integration, and the work continues in Volume 2 by developing the archaeological story and its implications for these islands.

The research reported on here aimed to examine these assumptions and questions and test them using a variety of palaeoenvironmental, geoarchaeological,

chronological, Geographical Information System, archaeological and historical approaches, both on- and off-site (cf. French 2015). This extensive, multi-disciplinary suite of approaches was combined to investigate issues of long-term cultural sustainability in this fragile environment. Multiple investigations of deep valley sediments, buried soils and landscapes, archaeological sites and human remains were all combined in an interlinked study which may collectively provide understanding of how a culture, environment and landscape developed through time. Detailed chronological investigations of off- and on-site locations were developed using state of the art Accelerated Mass Spectrometry (or AMS) radiocarbon, tephra, Optically Stimulated Luminescence (or OSL) and cultural dating techniques to provide vital control over the data, enabling realistic understandings of cultural and environmental changes. As a central focus, a substantial number of deep valley cores was drilled, dated and studied for pollen, invertebrate and other fossil proxies, and along with extensive geoarchaeological survey, sedimentary and buried soil analyses were to

reconstruct the dynamic ancient environment through time in the context of the human landscape provided by the archaeological evidence of settlement and human remains (see Chapters 2–5). These combined approaches are still relatively rare, but have provided a stunning battery of new detailed sequence data for the Maltese Islands from which to interrogate and better explain the archaeological record.

0.8. Archaeological investigations

Archaeological sites were chosen to cover the chronological and functional range of human occupation of prehistory in the Maltese Islands to the end of the Temple Culture, with an equal attention to pragmatic issues such as access and ownership. By the end of the project one settlement zone around a probable water hole (Taċ-Ċawla), four temple sites (Ġgantija, Kordin III, Santa Verna and Skorba, the latter two with deep stratigraphies) and a Middle Bronze Age defensive settlement (In-Nuffara) had been investigated (Figs. 0.2 & 0.4). Already collected samples were analysed




Figure 0.2. Location of the main Neolithic archaeological and deep coring sites investigated on Malta and Gozo (R. McLaughlin).



Figure 0.3 (above and opposite). Some views of previous excavations on Gozo and Malta. a) Santa Verna, looking east (Ashby(o).XXXVII.91); b & c) Ġgantija, looking southeast (TA[PHP]-XXVII.083, TA[PHP]-XXVIII.011); d) stone circle, Xagħra (TA[PHP]-XXVII.100) (with kind permission from the Ashby Archive, The British School at Rome; all rights reserved).





a



b



c



d



e

Figure 0.4. Some views of recent excavations at Santa Verna (a), Ġgantija (b & c) and Skorba (d) temples, and In-Nuffara (e) (C. French).

from a further two prehistoric sites (Xagħra Brochtorff Circle and Tarxien) and one medieval site (Mdina) (Fig. 0.3). The sites of Tač-Ċawla, Santa Verna and Skorba provided the most elaborate and chronologically wide-ranging information, and Tač-Ċawla, Santa Verna and Kordin III also enabled spatially informative information over the area of a site. The detailed results from this new fieldwork are presented in Volume 2.

The programme of work started with the extensive excavation of Tač-Ċawla and survey of Ġgantija and Santa Verna (2014), progressed towards the excavation of Ġgantija, Santa Verna and In-Nuffara (spring 2015) and Kordin III (summer 2015), and ended with limited work at Skorba (spring 2016) (Fig. 0.4). The most substantial teams were present at Tač-Ċawla, Santa Verna and Kordin III. The main personnel at each of these sites was provided by Queen's Belfast, the University of Cambridge and the University of Malta, respectively, together with university student assistance from each university.

The choice of sites for excavation was designed to assess the *FRAGSUS* questions by contributing to understanding of: (i) impact of human settlement on Malta, (ii) social sustainability, (iii) the size and nature of the prehistoric population, and (iv) the social and economic changes at the end of the Temple Period. They were also selected as being representative of particular landscapes that might inform on associated sites. For example, Kordin III, because the site was close to the Tarxien and Ȧal Saflieni complex, offered potential insight into the prehistoric landscapes of the now highly urbanized city-scape of Paola.

A number of other sites were considered but were not eventually included in the study on grounds of time,

access and certainty of contribution to the *FRAGSUS* questions. However, these continue to be very viable places for study of Malta's past for future generations of archaeologists. Ta' Marziena (Gozo) (a probable temple site with deep stratigraphy) was laser scanned, but its access would have required negotiation with a private land-owner. The main Bronze Age fortifications of Borg in-Nadur on Malta were scanned but some work had recently been undertaken and further work was logistically difficult. Xrobb L-Għagin, a probable temple site on Malta, threatened by cliff collapse, was considered too dangerous to investigate. Għar ta' Għejzu on Gozo was scanned, but had already been badly damaged and contained no deposits. The Xemxija burial chambers were scanned and the skeletal remains recovered by Evans have been considered by Jess Thompson in Volume 3 in a fresh analysis. Għar Dalam was scanned, but the deposits considered too precious for further excavation. The south temple of Ġgantija was scanned but never considered for excavation, except on its margins where the two sondages were recorded (see Chapter 5 & Volume 2). The Xagħra Brochtorff Circle was 3-D scanned, but permission was never received for limited further excavation to assess the eroding condition and conservation needs of the site. The Skorba period site of Ta' Kuljat detected on the original Gozo survey was considered for excavation, but considered logistically too problematic. Many other sites across the now heavily threatened Maltese-Gozitan landscape could have been included, minor temple structures, isolated megaliths, rock cut tombs and exposed sections, but for the present, the six interventions and their associated environmental analysis are the harvest of the *FRAGSUS Project* (see Volume 2).

Temple landscapes

The ERC-funded *FRAGSUS Project* (*Fragility and sustainability in small island environments: adaptation, cultural change and collapse in prehistory, 2013–18*), led by Caroline Malone (Queens University Belfast) has explored issues of environmental fragility and Neolithic social resilience and sustainability during the Holocene period in the Maltese Islands. This, the first volume of three, presents the palaeo-environmental story of early Maltese landscapes.

The project employed a programme of high-resolution chronological and stratigraphic investigations of the valley systems on Malta and Gozo. Buried deposits extracted through coring and geoarchaeological study yielded rich and chronologically controlled data that allow an important new understanding of environmental change in the islands. The study combined AMS radiocarbon and OSL chronologies with detailed palynological, molluscan and geoarchaeological analyses. These enable environmental reconstruction of prehistoric landscapes and the changing resources exploited by the islanders between the seventh and second millennia BC. The interdisciplinary studies combined with excavated economic and environmental materials from archaeological sites allows *Temple landscapes* to examine the dramatic and damaging impacts made by the first farming communities on the islands' soil and resources. The project reveals the remarkable resilience of the soil-vegetational system of the island landscapes, as well as the adaptations made by Neolithic communities to harness their productivity, in the face of climatic change and inexorable soil erosion. Neolithic people evidently understood how to maintain soil fertility and cope with the inherently unstable changing landscapes of Malta. In contrast, second millennium BC Bronze Age societies failed to adapt effectively to the long-term aridifying trend so clearly highlighted in the soil and vegetation record. This failure led to severe and irreversible erosion and very different and short-lived socio-economic systems across the Maltese islands.

Editors:

Charles French is Professor of Geoarchaeology in the Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge. *Chris O. Hunt* is a Professor in the School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool.

Reuben Grima is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Conservation and Built Heritage, University of Malta.

Rowan McLaughlin is Senior Researcher in the Department of Scientific Research at the British Museum and honorary research scholar at Queen's University Belfast.

Caroline Malone is a Professor in the School of Natural and Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast.

Simon Stoddart is Reader in Prehistory in the Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge.

*Published by the McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research,
University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3ER, UK.*

Cover design by Dora Kemp and Ben Plumridge.

ISBN: 978-1-902937-99-1

