BOOK REVIEWS

BRIDGET ALCHIN (ED.), South East Asian Achaeology 1981. University of Cambridge Oriental Publications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984. 346 (+ix) pp. £35.00 (Hard). ISBN 0-521-25599-6.

Reviewed by Rima Hooja

archaeological tradition inherited by modern South Asian archaeologists appears to be an amalgum of strong 'Wheelerian' influence and the 'New Archaeology', against a backdrop of other 19 th and early 20th century developments.

There is, however, one important dictum from the text books scholars of South Asian archaeology sometimes seem to ignore -- the rule regarding prompt publication! Thus, the publication of conference papers frequently becomes a way of keeping up with on-going projects, new discoveries, and complicated fieldwork results. This is true of the book under review here. ever, at its current (hard cover) price, this is an expensive volume for the general reader with an interest in becoming a dilletante in South Asian archaeology.

South Asian Archaeology 1981 is a collection of 49 papers read at the Sixth International Conference of the Association of South Asian Archaeologists in Western Europe, held at Cambridge in 1981. As the dust-jacket informs the reader:

"Every two years an international conference...brings together schoand specialists in archaeology of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and neighbouring areas. Researchers report on new discoveries, progress in major field projects, laboratory studies, and the changing interpretation and understanding of the archaeology, art history, and early history of the Indian sub-continent".

Predictably, the 49 papers cover a wide range of topics, from recent research on Palaeolithic the north Pakistan (Rendell: Dennell). to a discussion of the history socioeconomic conditions of a 14th century AD temple from the Vijayanagara Empire of south (Filliozat), to a reassessment of Southeast Asian bronze kettledrums (von Dewall). Such an assorted selection of papers does not seem incongruous, since the volume is divided into eight sections.

The first section deals with relating to Pleistocene issues chronology and the Palaeolithic of the Potwar Plateau of Pakistan. which are relevant for similar deposits in other parts of Indian and Pakistan. The next four sites sections cover the Mehrgarh, Shahr-i Sokhta, the Indus Valley, and other protohistoric sites. Papers related to the Early Historic and Buddhist period the sixth section, followed by a section with dealing Medieval architecture and the Vijavanagara Project. The final section is a compilation of miscellaneous including papers, reports from areas adjacent to the Indian continent, such as Thailand. All sections form almost complete units by themselves, even though each of these contains papers not concerned with its main theme.

A detailed discussion of papers is, for obvious reasons, not practical in a review. It may relevant, however, to present the gist in order to critically appraise the book and relevance of the contributions.

The first two papers in South

the Potwar Project, a collaboration tion in one area of the Indus between the British Archaeological Valley over an extended period of Mission to Pakistan and the time" (Meadows: 34). The 'flint Pakistani Department of Archaeo- industry of Mehrgarh', present logy. Rendell's "The Pleistocene throughout the site's occupation, Sequence in the Soan Valley, North is also a subject of discussion; Pakistan" is complemented by while Santoni comments on how the Dennell's survey of the palaeonto- fresh data from Mehrgarh, and Sibri logical and archaeological evidence have widened the zone of cultural on hominids, which stresses the value of the evidence of early man found on the Potwar Plateau.

Section two deals with Mehrgarh, sequence of the Greater Indus area" exception of Beatrice de Cardi's cedents of later Indus Valley and sites can be confidently accepted. Baluchistani cultures from the end of the 4th and 3rd millennium BC.

'aceramic Neolithic' (Period I) at painted and water-separation did not prove of Shahr-i Sokhta Buff ware...'. useful recovery techniques, and, thus, dry sieving and impressions of straw and grain on mud-bricks of this section, Mariani (Craftswere relied upon.

Asian Archaeology 1981 report on "...the course of animal utilizainteraction between northern Afghanistan, Central Asia and eastern Iran, and the Greater Indus Valley.

The final paper in the Mehrgarh an important site in Baluchistan, section is de Cardi's review of her Pakistan, which has added "...a new surveys in Baluchistan between 1948 dimension to the pehistoric and 1957, in the context of the sequence, spanning over 4000 years (J-F Jarrige: p. 21). With the of occupation, obtained from Mehrgarh. Relying predominantly on study, the other papers in this surface collections, the author section are a continuation of argues for a decline in siteearlier reports of the French occupation, from the 4th to the 2nd Archaeological Mission to Pakistan millennium B.C., with a majority of and the Pakistani Department of sites having apparently been aban-Archaeology (South Asian Archaeo- doned from the mid 3rd millennium logy, 1975, 1977, 1979). The exca- B.C. However, further excavation vations at Mahrgarh have provided data is definitely required before information concerning the ante- such an inexplicable abandonment of

The third section includes papers on different aspects of Jarrige discuses the problems ceramic assemblages at Shahr-i regarding the 'Chronology of the Sokhta and related sites. Biscione earlier periods of the Greater comments on the 'Baluchistan Indus as seen from Merhgarh, Paki- presence in the ceramic assemblage stan', thereby providing a frame- of Period I at Shahr-i Sokhta'; work for the other papers about the Vidale on 'The Pear-shaped Beaker site. Constantini ('The beginning ...evolution of a ceramic morphoof agriculture in the Kachi type during the third millennium Plain...') has studied the evidence B.C.'; Pracchia postulating procefor cultivated barley and wheat dures for a 'Preliminary analysis from the earliest levels of the of the Shahr-i Sokhta II Buff ware figuration...'; and Mehrgarh. Due to the conditions of Nalesini discussing the 'Social preservation of charred vegetal implications of the morphological remains, it appears that floatation variability of the decorated motifs

In one of the other three papers men's quarters in the proto-urban settlements of the Middle East...') The Mehrgarh excavations have deals with the occurrence of indusenabled the researchers to chart trial waste, from lithic, metal and

semi-precious stone, shell, craft study different industries, postulating which kind Harappan/non-Harappan of activity can be recognised at a Francfort, for instance looks at considered to be a fair reflection "...not with the Harappan world -of the economic life of its oc- which is well attested -- but with a dual analysis of clay sealings, a Turkemenia" (p. 170). Parpola order to review protohistoric ad- with special reference to the ministrative procedures. They have proto-Elamites, and Weisberger tried to use a morphological- third millennium BC copper producdistributional study.

sider various aspects of the 'Indus Dilmun, Bahrain and especially Valley Civilization', also referred Meluhha/Indus Valley" (p. 196) to as the 'Harappan Civilization'. Catherine Jarrige discusses the 'Terracotta human figurines from Nindowari', a third millennium B.C. site in Baluchistan. presents the 'Preliminary results results from the various excavafrom two years' documentation in tions carried out in the Swat Mohenjo-daro', on behalf of the Valley (Pakistan) by the Italian German Research Project Mohenjo- Archaeological Misson at sites like daro. Puskas attempts to understand Loebanr, the 'Society and religion in the Ghaligai. These have produced data Indus Valley civilization' and its on the cultural change that influence on later Indian beliefs occurred in the Swat region between and systems. Grigson thoughts on unicorns and other B.C., and seem to show that the cattle depicted at Mohenjo-daro and Swat Valley sites are not an "... Harappa'), discusses the cattle isolated episode of marginality, commonly depicted on Harappan but...part of a wider cultural Fairservis joins the context" (p. 210). seals. legions who have attempted to read meaning from the hitherto undeciphered Indus script ("Harappan central Civilization according to its 'cultures', with reference to the writing'). Fairservis' interpreta- terminology and classificatory systion that the Harappan language was tems used to distinguish between an "...early Dravidian tongue not 'Malwa ware' and 'Jorwe ware' sites necessarily that of the proto-Dravidian reconstructs of modern chalcolithic of India'), provides a Dravidians", with probable Indo- fresh, and, in the context of Aryan loan words, is by no means the only reading (!) of the Indus script, and considerable literature has been published recently, indicating the controversial nature of the issue.

tions to the Indus section, three the book. Irwin discusses pre-

site, and to what extent it can be the relationship of Shortughai cupants. Fiandra and Feroli provide the west, with Bactria and constant element in the early state focusses on the relationship betsocieties of several regions, in ween Harappan and Near Eastern art functional, and a typographical-tion in Oman. The latter sets out the "...archaeological evidence for connections between the the The next group of papers con- Makan/Oman peninsula and Sumer, during the third millennium B.C.

> The fifth section of South Asian Archaeology 1981, is a section on Jansen protohistory. Stacul summarises the Bir-kot-ghundhai and ('Some the third and mid second millennium

> > Miller's re-appraisal of the Indian chalcolithic (''Malwa' and 'Jorwe' in the developing suitable theoretical approaches, relevant interpretation.

Papers relating to Early Historic and Buddhist and numismatical archaeology have been Of the remaining four contribu- grouped into the sixth section of

Asokan pillars ('The Lat Bhairo of Benares; another pre-Asokan monument?'). Cribb considers Kushan coins and the 'the origin of the Buddha image...'. Van Lohuizen de Leeuw focusses on an ivory object found at Mantari, Sri Lanka ('A unique piece of ivory carving - the oldest known chessman'). Fischer, Sherrier and Verardi consider aspects of Gandharan art; while Taddei looks at 'Evidence of a fire cult at Tapa Sardar, Ghazni (Afghanistan); Baker Meliian-Chirvani discusses 'Buddhist ritual in the literature of early Islamic Iran'; Franz looks at the 'Origins of the tower-temple in India'; and Lawson considers a group of Indian Buddhist terracota sealings.

There are three articles in the penultimate section of the book --Dumarcay's 'Perspective effects in the Airavatesvara temple, Darasuram'; John Fritz and George Mitchell's progress report of the Vijayanagara documentation and research project; and Filliozat's paper on a Vijayanagara temple. The final seven papers have been classified under the subtitle of Miscellaneous and Adjacent areas. The scope and spatial and temporal context of these range from "...pre-Mughal monuments in Bangladesh" (Ahmed), to 'The cemetary of Don Ta Phet, Thailand...' (Glover et al.), Antonini's 'Planning a campaign in Nepal' and Clason's review of data relating to 'Animal-man relationships in southern Asia during the Holocene'.

The book is a useful directory of recent and on-going work. The incorporation of reports from British, French and Italian Archaeological Missions, the German Research Project, Mohenjo-daro, and the Vijayanagara Project, along with other research results, make South Asian Archaeology 1981 a source book for certain data. Some of the presentations (for example, Miller's ''Malwa' and 'Jorwe' in the chalcolithic of India', pp 213-

219) reflect a new trend in the theory and application of archaeological research on the subcontinent. The four papers on pottery from Shahr-i Sokhta go beyond the old system of classifying data only on the basis of typology, while reiterating the traditional importance of pottery for archaeological studies. The papers on Mehrgarh indicate the importance of the research being undertaken in that region. Until such time as the publication of complete reports for some of the areas covered in the book, works like South Asian Archaeology 1981 will be useful. However, at its present (hard back) price, the book is expensive for the general reader interested in becoming a dilletante in South Asian Archaeology.

HENRY CLEERE (ED.), Approaches to the Archaeological Comparative Study of Resource Management Directions in Archaeology series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984. 138 pp. £22.50 (Hard) ISBN 0-521-24305-X.

Reviewed by Christopher Chippin-dale.

Some of the Cambridge new directions for archaeology have been so odd, that it is refreshing to find this book sensible in its ambitions and practical in its approach. If it ends up on the pedestrian side, that may be the right fault.

At £22.50 for 138 pages, or around 16p a page, the book is even more of an incentive to pirate photocopies than usual, but the large format gives 1100 words a page, so the book is nothing like as thin as it appears. It has an introductory essay by William Lipe on value and meaning in cultural resources, and a closing essay by Henry Cleere on problems and perspectives; between these are twelve