An unbiased lipidomics approach identifies early second trimester lipids predictive of Maternal Glycemic Traits and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

Objective: To investigate the relationship between early second trimester serum lipidomic variation and maternal glycemic traits at 28 weeks, and to identify predictive lipid biomarkers for Gestational Diabetes (GDM).

Research Design and Methods: Prospective study of 817 pregnant women (Discovery cohort, n=200; Validation cohort, n=617) who provided an early second trimester serum sample, and underwent oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) at 28 weeks. In the discovery cohort, lipids were measured using direct infusion mass spectrometry, and correlated with OGTT results. Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) scores were used to identify candidate lipid biomarkers. Candidate biomarkers were measured in the validation cohort using Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, and tested for associations with OGTT results and GDM status.

Results: Early second trimester lipidomic variation was associated with 1-hour post-load glucose levels, but not with fasting plasma glucose. Of the 13 lipid species identified by VIP scores, 10 had nominally significant associations with post-load glucose levels. In the validation cohort, 5 of these 10 lipids had significant associations with post-load glucose levels independent of maternal age and BMI, i.e. TG(51:1), TG(48:1), PC(32:1), PCae(40:3) and PCae(40:4). All except the last were also associated with maternal GDM status. Together, these 4 lipid biomarkers had moderate ability to predict GDM (Area under curve (AUC)= 0.71±0.04, p=4.85x10⁻⁷), and improved the prediction of GDM by age and BMI alone from AUC 0.69 to AUC 0.74.
**Conclusions:** Specific early second trimester lipid biomarkers can predict maternal GDM status independent of maternal age and BMI, potentially enhancing risk factor-based screening.
Introduction

Gestational diabetes (GDM) affects 9-26% of pregnancies (1). It is clinically important because it increases the risk of obstetric complications (e.g. pre-eclampsia and shoulder dystocia), as well as neonatal complications (e.g. hypoglycemia and hyperbilirubinemia). In the multi-center Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes (HAPO) study, a continuous linear relationship was shown between maternal glucose levels at 24-32 weeks of gestation and the odds of several adverse pregnancy outcomes, even for glucose levels well within the normal range (2). This has provided impetus for the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) to recommend universal screening for GDM via the 75g Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) between 24-28 weeks gestation (3).

This recommendation by the IADPSG has not been uniformly adopted due to various concerns, including the implications on service provision, and the large number of normoglycemic women who will have undergo what can be an unpleasant and poorly tolerated test (4). Some countries, such as the United Kingdom (4) and Italy (5), perform risk factor-based screening, with only high-risk individuals receiving the diagnostic 75g oral glucose tolerance tests. Specified risk factors include obesity, previous macrosomic baby, previous gestational diabetes, family history of diabetes and minority ethnic family origin with high prevalence of diabetes. In the United States, the American Diabetes Association and American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists continue to endorse a two-step approach with an initial universal non-fasted 50g Glucose Load Test at 24-28 weeks gestation (6).

Another recognized limitation of screening for GDM at 24-28 weeks gestation is the delay in detecting cases of GDM that developed in the first or early second
trimesters. By the time of screening, significant increased fetal adiposity may have developed (4,7,8). Although performed in a high-risk ethnic minority population, Agarwal and colleagues showed that over 40% of GDM cases could be diagnosed by a 75g OGTT performed before 18 weeks gestation (9). These limitations of universal 24-28 week OGTT support the potential value of predictive early gestational biomarkers for GDM. Biomarkers that have been studied include fasting plasma glucose (9) and more recently maternal metabolites (10,11), plasma proteins (12) and miRNA (13) using high throughput technologies. Although these efforts have not yet informed clinical strategies, the results of metabolomic studies in particular have shed valuable insights on the pathophysiology of GDM (11,14).

Variations in lipid profiles have yet to be comprehensively studied, even though changes in maternal lipid metabolism are well-described from the beginning of pregnancy (15). In early pregnancy, plasma lipids, including triglycerides, phospholipids and cholesterol decrease, before steadily increasing from week 8 onwards (15). The rise in triglycerides is accompanied by an increase in VLDL, LDL and HDL levels (16). Changes in lipids during GDM have also been observed, with GDM women having higher serum triglyceride levels, but lower LDL levels, compared to normoglycemic pregnant women (17).

Therefore, we hypothesized that lipidomic variation in early second trimester maternal serum samples could be associated with later glucose tolerance measured at 28 weeks. Confirmation of this hypothesis would enable identification of candidate lipid biomarkers that are predictive of GDM, so as to improve GDM screening and provide mechanistic insights into the pathophysiology of GDM.
Research Design and Methods

Recruitment and sample collection

The Cambridge Baby Growth Study (CBGS) is a prospective longitudinal study that has been described previously (18–20). Briefly, 2212 women in early pregnancy were recruited between 2001 and 2009 from ultrasound clinics at the Rosie Maternal Hospital, Cambridge, UK. Such dating scans are routinely offered to all pregnant women receiving antenatal care, and are performed at 8-14 weeks gestation.

Shortly after recruitment, at 15.2 ± 0.07 weeks gestation, a non-fasting venous blood sample was collected if women consented. After clotting and within 2 hours of sample collection, these samples were centrifuged at 3,000G for 10 min and the serum separated and stored at -80 °C. They were maintained at -80 °C until analysis, with the exception of a single freeze-thaw cycle to prepare the necessary aliquots for lipid analysis. A total of 1260 serum samples were collected.

All participants were also invited for a standard 75g OGTT, which was performed at 28 weeks gestation after an overnight fast. A total of 1069 women underwent the OGTT. Plasma glucose levels were analyzed by the standard glucose oxidase method.

Cohort selection

For this study, we excluded OGTT participants who (i) were missing either fasting or 1-hour (1h) post-load venous plasma glucose level measurements (n=10), (ii) subsequently gave birth to twins (n=17), or (iii) did not provide a early second trimester serum sample (n=219). A very small number of participants (n=6) were also excluded for various other reasons, e.g. inadequate remaining serum samples, no
paired DNA sample (for use in other studies). This yielded a total of 817 women, who were assigned to a Discovery Cohort of 200 women, and a Validation Cohort of 617 women. Women in the discovery cohort were selected because they had data on other genetic or phenotypic traits, which other ongoing studies in our group were interested in correlating lipidomic variation with.

There were two differences in clinical characteristics between the discovery and validation cohorts. First, 1h post-load glucose levels were 0.27mM higher in the validation cohort (Table 1). This result was of borderline significance on univariate testing (p=0.05), and non-significant when multiple testing was accounted for using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (p=0.175). Importantly, there was no significant difference in the proportion of cases with GDM in the two groups. Second, samples were taken in the validation cohort at a slightly later gestation, approximately 0.5 weeks later.

Ethical approval

The study protocol was approved by the local research ethics committee, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Lipid biomarker analyses

In the discovery cohort the lipids were profiled by direct infusion mass spectrometry as described previously (21,22). For biomarker validation, we used a Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) method as described before (23).

Statistical analysis
Partial least squares (PLS) regression and PLS- Discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) were used to identify associations between lipidomics variables and OGTT results in the discovery cohort. Fitted models were considered significant if the $Q^2$, i.e. $R^2$ of the model as estimated by cross-validation, was positive. The importance of individual lipid species was quantified via the Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) score, and used to identify candidate lipid biomarkers. The VIP score is a widely used method of variable selection. It takes into account the amount of Y-variance explained by the projection, and the loadings of each variable on this projection, while adjusting for the absolute magnitude of each X-variable. As such, 2 variables with identical contribution to the explanatory power of the model will have identical VIP scores, regardless of which component they have a large influence on, or their absolute magnitudes.

Standard linear and logistic regression techniques were used to assess the association between candidate lipid biomarkers and maternal OGTT results or GDM status. GDM was defined based on fasting and 1h post-load glucose levels using IADPSG thresholds, i.e. $\geq 5.1$ and 10.0mM respectively. 2h post-load data was unavailable for most women and was omitted from our case definition for uniformity. This is acceptable as only 7% of UK women with GDM are diagnosed based on the 2h measurement alone (1).

Logistic regression was used to combine the predictive ability of candidate lipid biomarkers, which was then assessed using Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) plots. Where backward stepwise selection was used, a significance threshold of 0.10 for removal was employed. Linear discriminant analysis was used to ensure the robustness of these results.
The threshold for statistical significance was 0.05. For the discovery cohort uncorrected p-values were considered, whereas in the validation cohort p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Values in the text are given as mean ± SE unless otherwise specified. Regression coefficients were standardized by the predictor, i.e. change in response variable for each standard deviation increase in the predictor.

PLS and PLS-DA regression was performed using SIMCA version 14 (MKS Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden). All other analyses were performed using SPSS version 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).
Results

Association between early second trimester lipidomic variation and 28-week glucose tolerance

Analysis of the discovery cohort was confined to 196 samples as 4 samples were found to be unsuitable for analysis due to hemolysis. In these samples, 189 lipid species were detected.

A PLS model was constructed to examine the extent to which early second trimester lipidomic variation explained post-load venous glucose levels during a OGTT at 28 weeks. The resulting model yielded 1 fitted component, which used 15% of lipidomic variation ($R^2_X$) to explain 11% ($R^2_Y$) of variation in post-load glucose levels. This was robust to internal cross-validation, yielding a $Q^2$ of 4%.

Because some lipid species may show non-linear relationships with post-load glucose levels, we divided participants into tertiles of OGTT levels, and constructed a PLS-DA model to explain membership in the top tertile. The resulting model also yielded a single component, which used 15% of lipidomic variation to explain 9% of the variation in top tertile membership, with a $Q^2$ of 1.31%.

Similar PLS and PLS-DA models were also constructed to explore the relationship between lipidomic variation and fasting plasma glucose levels. However, the models were overfitted, with the PLS and PLS-DA models yielding a $Q^2$ of -3% ($R^2_X$=15%, $R^2_Y$=9%) and -10% ($R^2_X$=9%, $R^2_Y$=8%) respectively. These models were not used in subsequent analyses.

Identification of lipid biomarkers of post-load plasma glucose levels
From each of the two models considering post-load glucose levels, approximately 10 lipids with the highest VIP scores were selected, with the exact cut-point selected using a graphical method (Fig. 1a, b). The PLS model yielded 9 lipid species and the PLS-DA model 10 species, with 5 lipid species being identified in both models. One of the species annotated as DG-H2O(32:0) was likely to be an in-source fragment of a different lipid species. As in-source fragments are artifacts of mass spectrometry, this species was disregarded, leaving a total of 13 lipid species identified.

The 13 lipid species were regressed against post-load glucose levels or membership in the top tertile thereof. To ensure that they were not simply surrogates for known risk factors of GDM, maternal age and pre-pregnancy BMI were adjusted for. This resulted in 10 of the 13 lipid species having a nominally significant association with post-load glucose levels and/or the top tertile thereof (Supplementary Table S1). The 2 lipid species showing the strongest association with post-load glucose levels were the triglyceride TG(51:1) (0.40mM per SD increase, p=8.88E-4) and the choline ether phospholipid PCae(40:3) (-0.41mM per SD increase, p=9.73E-4) (Supplementary Table S2).

Further examination of the correlations between these 10 lipid species revealed clustering into 2 large groups (Fig. 1c). The first group contained the choline ether phospholipids, whereas the second group contained triglycerides and a phosphatidylcholines.

Validation of lipid biomarkers of post-load plasma glucose levels

These 10 candidate lipid biomarkers were measured in the validation set of 617 subjects using a LC-MS method. This provided additional chromatographic information, eliminating any possible artifacts introduced by the shotgun approach in
the discovery set. With this method we were unable to detect PCae(44:4) in our samples, suggesting that this putative signal in the discovery set was an artifact, and it was omitted from further analysis. We also omitted 20 samples in which none of the remaining 9 lipid species were detectable, as this was likely indicative of poor sample quality. This left data on the 9 candidate lipid biomarkers in 597 subjects for analysis.

Of the 9 remaining lipid species, 5 showed significant associations with post-load glucose levels even after adjustment for maternal age and BMI and correction for multiple testing (Table 2). TG(51:1), TG(48:1) and PC(32:1) were positively associated with maternal post-load glucose levels, whereas PCae(40:3) and PCae(40:4) were inversely associated with maternal post-load glucose levels. Logistic regression against membership in the top tertile of post-load glucose levels did not validate any additional candidate lipid species, nor did adjusting for gestational age at the time of serum sample collection (data not shown).

As fasting and post-load glucose levels have common pathophysiological determinants and were moderately correlated in our cohort ($r=0.343$, $p<0.001$), we tested the 9 putative lipid biomarkers for an association with fasting glucose levels (data not shown). TG(51:1) and PCae(40:4) showed significant associations with fasting glucose levels, even after adjusting for multiple testing. However, after adjusting for maternal age and BMI, only TG(51:1) remained significant (0.06mM per SD increase, $p$-value=0.003, Benjamini-Hochberg $p$-value=0.02).

**Lipid predictors of Gestational Diabetes**

Of the 597 subjects in the validation cohort, 53 met the criteria for GDM. The 5 validated lipid species were tested for association with GDM. TG(51:1), TG(48:1),
PC(32:1), and PCae(40:4) were significantly associated with GDM, even after adjustment for maternal age and maternal BMI, and correction for multiple testing (Fig. 2a). While not reaching significance, PCae(40:3) nonetheless demonstrated a strong trend in the same direction as PCae(40:4) (Benjamini-Hochberg p-value=0.07).

To assess the combined predictive ability of these 4 lipid species, logistic regression was used to calculate the probability of GDM status of each subject, and the probability scores used to construct a ROC curve (Fig. 2b). This yielded an AUC of 0.709 ± 0.040 (p=4.85E-7). Similar results were obtained using linear discriminant analysis.

Of the 597 subjects, 410 (including 37 GDM cases) had available data on maternal age and BMI. This enabled us to assess the additional predictive power conferred by these 4 lipid biomarkers over maternal age and BMI alone. In this sub-group, maternal age and BMI produced an AUC of 0.689 ± 0.046 (p=1.54E-4), and further inclusion of the 4 lipid biomarkers increased the AUC to 0.741 ± 0.045 (p=1.33E-6) (Fig. 2c). Graphically, the improvement in AUC was most marked at stringent thresholds, i.e. enhancing the sensitivity at high levels of specificity. For instance at 91.7% specificity, sensitivity is 21.6% based on traditional risk factors, but raised to 48.6% when lipid predictors are included. In addition, there was some improvement at high levels of sensitivity, for instance at 97.3% sensitivity, where the inclusion of lipid predictors raised specificity from 9.9% based on traditional risk factors alone to 24.9%.

Finally, we sought to identify the most parsimonious model from these 6 potential predictive variables. Using a backward stepwise selection algorithm, the only terms
left in the model were Maternal BMI, TG(48:1) and PCae(40:4). This yielded an AUC of 0.732 ± 0.045 (p=3.28E-6), which is similar to the model including all 6 predictors.
Conclusions

In this unselected cohort of predominantly Caucasian pregnant women, using an unbiased lipidomics approach and a pre-assigned validation cohort, we show for the first time that specific lipid species in the maternal early second trimester lipid profile are associated with maternal glycemic traits assessed by standard 75g oral glucose tolerance testing at 28 weeks. We identified 4 lipid biomarkers, i.e. TG(51:1), TG(48:1), PC(32:1) and PCae(40:4), that predict later GDM independent of maternal age and BMI, and could potentially enhance the performance of existing risk-factor based screening approaches used in many countries.

The performance of clinical risk-factor based screening has been examined in many different populations. A recent study in an Australian population compared the performance of the NICE, ADA and Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society risk-factor based screening guidelines, yielding sensitivities of 92%, 100% and 99%, and specificities of 32.4%, 3.9% and 13.7% respectively (24). This and other studies reveal limited test performance, with the need for low levels of specificity to achieve the high levels of sensitivity.

The 4 lipid biomarkers that we identified have moderate predictive performance, with an estimated AUC of 0.709. This is comparable to other early pregnancy biomarkers, including conventional biomarkers fasting plasma glucose (estimated AUC=0.579) (9), HbA1C (AUC in high risk population=0.67) (25), triglycerides (AUC=0.55-0.61) and triglycerides to HDL ratio (AUC=0.62) (26), as well as novel biomarkers such as second trimester serum miRNA (AUC=0.669) (13). Furthermore the lipid biomarkers that we derived were specifically identified to predict GDM independent of maternal age and BMI, and thus can enhance the predictive performance of existing risk
factor-based approaches. Indeed, inclusion of the 4 lipid biomarkers to maternal age and BMI increased the AUC from 0.689 to 0.741.

The enhancement of sensitivity at high levels of specificity was particularly marked. Although for the purpose of predicting GDM, our data on the clinical performance of lipid biomarkers must be considered very preliminary, lipid biomarkers may potentially have a role in identifying high-risk women who should receive an immediate/early second trimester OGTT.

The lipid biomarkers we identified can be divided into two groups. TG(51:1), TG(48:1) and PC(32:1) are associated with increased post-load glucose levels and GDM risk, and are moderately correlated. The choline ether phospholipids PCae(40:3) and PCae(40:4) are associated with decreased post-load glucose levels and/or GDM risk, and are strongly correlated.

The association of TG(51:1), TG(48:1) and PC(32:1) with maternal glucose levels is consistent with previous investigations into lipidomic changes associated with Type 2 diabetes. TG(48:1) has been implicated with Type 2 diabetes risk in the Framingham cohort (27), and PC(32:1) levels are raised in AusDiab subjects with Type 2 Diabetes (28). These 3 lipid species are notable for the presence of a single double bond, which implies the presence of a monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA), predominantly palmitoleate and to a lesser extent, oleate, on closer inspection of LC-MS spectra in our analysis. In one study, palmitoleate content within circulating phospholipids was found to be associated with increased insulin resistance (29). As circulating palmitoleate is principally synthesized in the liver in humans, this may reflect hepatic insulin resistance, in line with our finding that TG(51:1) is associated with fasting plasma glucose levels (30). Mechanistically, palmitoleate and oleate are produced
from palmitate and stearate by the action of Steroyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1), and SCD1 activity has recently been linked in a large cohort to Type 2 Diabetes risk and hepatic steatosis (31). Indeed, SCD1−/− mice display increased insulin sensitivity (32).

The association of TG(51:1), primarily comprising TG(18:1/17:0/16:0) (or positional isomers thereof) in our cohort, with maternal glucose levels was also surprising because odd-chain fatty acids, including heptadecanoic acid, have been associated with reduced risk of Type 2 Diabetes (28,33,34). However, our finding is in keeping with results of an untargeted metabolomic screen using fasted serum samples from women at 28 weeks gestation who were enrolled in the HAPO study (35), in which heptadecanoic acid was raised in subjects with fasting plasma glucose levels in the 90th percentile but with similar BMI to controls. This may be due to genuine differences in the pathophysiology of GDM and Type 2 Diabetes, but may also reflect the fact that the studies of Type 2 Diabetes measured the fatty acid content in phospholipids, whereas the latter study of pregnant women measured free fatty acids (35). This underscores the advantage of intact lipid studies as opposed to fatty-acid profiling (30), which is a strength of our study.

The other group of lipid biomarkers identified, i.e. the choline ether phospholipids PCae(40:3) and PCae(40:4), were inversely associated with maternal glucose levels. This is consistent with an earlier report from the AusDiab cohort in which ether phospholipids were inversely related with post-load glucose levels and reduced in patients with diabetes (28). The physiological function of ether phospholipids remains largely unknown (36), but they have been implicated as physiological ligands of PPARγ (37). Intriguingly, SCD1 is a target of PPARγ, potentially providing a mechanistic link between low ether phospholipids, and high levels of palmitoleate- and oleate- containing lipids (32).
The broad overlap between our lipid biomarkers and those identified in studies of Type 2 Diabetes may be due to the fact that lipid profiles were derived from samples obtained early in pregnancy, reflecting the contribution of pre-existing insulin resistance to the development of Gestational Diabetes. Indeed, it will be ideal to obtain a pre-conceptional sample as well as one during pregnancy, to identify lipid biomarkers which reflect the pathophysiological contribution of pregnancy itself. Nevertheless, this explanation of our findings is made less likely by the fact that GWAS studies have revealed a broadly shared genetic architecture between GDM and Type 2 Diabetes, and metabolomic studies from later in pregnancy, including one using samples from 28 weeks gestation, have shown overlapping metabolic signatures between GDM and Type 2 diabetes (11,14,35).

There are several limitations to our study. First, while we validated our candidate lipid biomarkers using a pre-defined subset, these biomarkers have not been externally validated, for example in populations of high-risk ethnicities. Second, our study was not designed to demonstrate the superiority of a lipid biomarker and risk-factor based approach compared to a risk-factor based screening alone. Thus, we lacked data on other conventional risk factors, e.g. family history of diabetes and personal history of GDM. For similar reasons, we also lacked data on other traditional biochemical risk factors, such as HbA1C, triglycerides and HDL cholesterol, and are thus unable to directly compare the performance of the lipid biomarkers to these alternatives within this study. Third, because we selected only 13 lipids from the 189 lipids measured for univariate analysis, we might have been overly conservative in our approach. Finally, because serum samples for lipidomic analysis were obtained in the non-fasting state without controlling for meal time and meal content, this would have added additional lipidomic variability that was not related to
variation in OGTT results at 28 weeks, thus reducing study power. However, this additional variability might be small compared to existing inter- and intra-subject variation (38). Our re-analysis of data from Begum and colleagues (38) suggests that variance is partitioned between inter-subject differences, intra-subject differences not due to meal time, and the effect of meal time in the proportion 62%, 31% and 7%, albeit the population that they studied was less heterogeneous than the CBGS.

In summary, we report for the first time an association between maternal early second trimester lipid species and glycemic traits at 28 weeks, as assessed by a standard OGTT. We further show that 4 lipid biomarkers, TG(51:1), TG(48:1), PC(32:1) and PCae(40:4) are able to predict maternal GDM status independent of maternal age and BMI, and have potential to improve the performance of clinical risk-factor based screening. The lipid biomarkers identified also revealed marked similarities between the pathophysiology of GDM and Type 2 Diabetes (14). In particular, we highlight the established role of MUFAs (especially palmitoleate) and the emerging role of ether phospholipids, as well as the potential pathological role of odd-chain fatty acids, which might indicate a divergence in the pathophysologies of GDM and Type 2 Diabetes.
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**Figure legends**

Figure 1. Candidate lipid biomarkers identified from the Discovery Cohort. (a-b) Variable Importance in Projection scores of individual lipid species in a PLS model mapping early 2nd trimester lipid profiles to late 2nd trimester 1-hour post-load glucose levels (b) Variable Importance in Projection Scores of individual lipid species in a PLS-DA model mapping early 2nd trimester lipid profiles to membership in the top tertile of late 2nd trimester 1-hour post-load glucose levels (c) Correlation between 10 candidate lipid biomarkers taken forward to validation cohort. PCae: Choline ether phospholipid; TG: Triglyceride; PE: Phosphatidylethanolamine; PC: Phosphatidylcholine. Underlined lipid species refer to species which had nominally significant associations with 1-hour post-load glucose levels (a) or the top tertile thereof (b), and were taken forward to the Validation cohort.

Figure 2. Validated lipid biomarkers and Gestational Diabetes prediction within the Validation Cohort. (a) Individual predictive power of each lipid, independent of maternal age and BMI. * refers to P<0.05, with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple testing (b) Combined predictive power of 4 lipid species (c) Enhancement of predictive power of conventional risk factors. AUC: Area under the curve. Other abbreviations as per Figure 1.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Characteristics</th>
<th>All OGGT</th>
<th>Lipidomics Total</th>
<th>Discovery cohort</th>
<th>Validation cohort</th>
<th>Discovery VS Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number, n</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>33.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>24.19</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>23.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal height (m)</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose levels (mM)</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h post-load glucose levels (mM)</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational Age at serum sample collection</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of participants in the Lipidomics Study. Fasting and post-load glucose levels were measured using a standard oral glucose tolerance test at 28 weeks of pregnancy. Gestational diabetes was diagnosed based on fasting and 1h post-load venous plasma glucose measurements (details in text). Comparisons were performed using Student’s t-test and χ^2 for the proportion of participants with gestational diabetes. P-values were not corrected for multiple testing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid Species</th>
<th>Univariate Analysis</th>
<th>Adjusted for Maternal age and BMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression coefficient (mM per SD)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG(51:1)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>9.15E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG(48:1)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG(50:1)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC(32:1)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.45E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCae(38:4)</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCae(44:6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCae(40:3)</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>1.70E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCae(40:5)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCae(40:4)</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>1.31E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Relationship between candidate lipid biomarkers and 2nd trimester 1-hour post-load glucose levels in the Validation Cohort. BH: Benjamini-Hochberg corrected. Abbreviations as per Figure 1. P-values in bold type indicate a statistically significant result (P<0.05).